THE YESHIVA PIRCHEI SHOSHANIM SHULCHAN ARUCH LEARNING PROJECT

# Hilchos Shabbos Volume Two Lesson One



## Revised and edited by: Rabbi L. Landsman

## Shiur

## Laws pertaining to the care of Shabbos Candles



## PLACING A VESSEL BENEATH A LAMP

Siman 265:3, 4 Revoking the use of a Keili

Siman 265 Se'if 3

3 It is 2) forbidden to place a container, on Shabbos, beneath an (oil) lamp to catch the dripping oil, because one is (1) revoking the use of the Keili. However, it is permitted to place it there before Shabbos. One may not use the 3) dripping oil on Shabbos.

<u>RAMA</u> It is forbidden to touch a lit (oil) lamp when it is hanging, even though one does not move it – and there is no issur of Muktze 4) just by touching, nevertheless it is forbidden lest it moves slightly and (will cause the flame to) 5) tilt.

Siman 265 Se'if 4

4 One may place a container beneath an (oil) lamp to catch the sparks, since they have no 6) substance, hence the use of the Keili is not revoked. However, water may not be placed into the container, 7) even (2) before Shabbos, 8) because one causes the sparks to extinguish prematurely.

Nevertheless, it is permitted to put water inside an oil lamp that one lights before Shabbos, 8) because one's intention is not to extinguish the flame rather it is to raise the oil. RAMA 10) (3) Some authorities say that even if one's intention is to extinguish the flame it is permitted since the water is not directly beneath the flame, as it is beneath the oil, and is therefore only causing the flame to be extinguished indirectly, and so is the custom.

THE YESHIVA PIRCHEI SHOSHANIM SHULCHAN ARUCH PROJECT ORACH CHAIM | SHABBOS | VOL.II LESSON 1 | SIMAN 265:3,4 | 305:19

Siman 305:19 Bittul Keli for Tza'ar Ba'alei Chayim

Siman 305 Se'if 19

19 An animal that fell into a water canal, if the water is deep and therefore the animal cannot be provided for in its present location, one may bring pillows and quilts and place them beneath it because of 'Tza'ar Ba'alei Chayim', <sup>1</sup> even though it is Mevatel keli me'heichano.

SIMAN265:3

## Mevatel Keli Me'heichano

The central theme of this Se'if is the implementation of the concept called Mevatel keli me'heichano (מבטל כלי מהיכנו). This means that it is assur to cause a utensil or item to become halachically immobilized, or in other words, to revoke the use of a keili. See Taz 1.

#### Mishna 42b

The Mishna on Shabbos 42b says that it is assur to place a keili beneath an oil lamp to catch the dripping oil. Rashi, in his second pshat says that the reason is because of Mevatel keli me'heichano.



#### **Reasons for the Issur**

#### Rashi 42b

Rashi here explains that by placing a keili to catch Muktze, it is as if he has bonded the keili to the surface with clay, which is similar to the Melacha of Boneh – Building.

#### Rashi 154b

On the other hand, Rashi on 154b "ד"ה והא" says that Mevatel keli me'heichano is assur because by immobilizing the keili it is as if one has dismantled it – Soter – breaking, which is also a Melacha. Since by placing a keili beneath a dripping oil lamp, the keili will become immobilized because of the Muktze inside, it is assur to do this on Shabbos. Of course, one can say that the keili is not really stuck to the table, because if the one who put it there would like to tip out the oil and use the keili, he may do so (we still have to learn in Hilchos Muktze when one is permitted to tip Muktze and when one is not). So why is it assur? We can answer that in general, this is true. However,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Translated as the pain of animals – we are commanded to not to hurt them and to look after their well-being.

it is obvious here that if he placed a *keili* to catch the dripping oil, since he would not intentionally spill the oil out, soil the tablecloth and lose the oil. Otherwise, he would not have put the *keili* there in the first place. Hence, this is *Mevatel keli me'heichano*.

## Why is the Oil Muktze?

## Oil In the lamp

The reason the oil is *Muktze* is as follows: the oil inside the lamp is *Muktze* because of the *issur* of *Mechabeh* – Extinguishing. The *Halacha* is that since one may not take oil out of a burning lamp because of *Mechabeh*, the oil becomes *Muktze*. This is because one of the basic rules of *Muktze* is that any item that is forbidden to use on Shabbos is *Muktze*.

## Oil out of the lamp

The oil in the lamp that dripped out is *Muktze* because it was designated for the lamp. So even though it will no longer be used for the lamp it remains *Muktze* due to the rule of – *Miggo d'itkatsai l'hein hashemashos, itkatsai l'kuli yoma* – יומא מיגו דאתקצאי לכולא, which means that anything *Muktze* at the beginning of Shabbos remains *Muktze* for the entire Shabbos.

## A Keili Beneath a Wax Candle

## **Hagahos Ashri**

The Beis Yosef cites the Hagahos Ashri<sup>2</sup> (Perek 3:18) who says that one may place a keili beneath a wax candle to catch a falling candle, because unlike the oil lamp, the wax candle can be tilted off the plate<sup>3</sup> whereas by oil, one does not want to tilt it out and lose the oil.

As explained, the essence of *Mevatel keli me'heichano* is the immobilizing of a *keili*. In a case where the *keili* may be lifted in order to remove the *Muktze*, it is not immobilized and is therefore not *Mevatel keli me'heichano*.

#### **Beis Yosef**

We find a machlokes Rishonim brought down by the Mechaber in Siman 309:4.4 If one places before Shabbos a Muktze item onto something that is not Muktze, with the intention of having that Muktze removed (by a non-Jew) before the end of Shabbos, it is a machlokes whether the non-Muktze item gains a status of a **Basis** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Hagahos Ashri can be found in the margin of the Rosh at the back of the Gemora.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Apparently this can only apply where the wax doesn't stick to the bowl.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Be"H we will learn it there.

le'davar ha'assur 5 or not.

The Beis Yosef learns that the heter of placing a keili beneath a wax candle is only according to the lenient opinion of this machlokes. That is, by not rendering the keili a **Basis** and hence not Mevatel keli me'heichano.

#### **Magen Avraham**

The M"A 2 disagrees with the Beis Yosef. First, he says that the machlokes in Siman 309 has nothing to do with this case because in our case, it wasn't there during Bein Hashemashos. Furthermore, even though there is a machlokes 6 as to whether one may do Bittul keli for a short while, here everyone will agree that it is not even called Bittul keli for a short while because the candle can be shaken off the keili as soon as it falls into the keili.

### TO SUMMARIZE

The Hagahos Ashri permits placing a keili beneath a wax candle to catch it when it falls off the candlestick, when he has explicit intent to shake it off. The Beis Yosef learns that it depends on the above-mentioned machlokes. The M"A learns that it is muter according to all opinions. M"B 5 and Shulchan Aruch HaRav 5 Pasken like the M"A.

## A Keili Under the Table

The Beis Yosef cites the Maharil (Siman 32) who says that it is muter to place, a keili under the table before Shabbos, and then move the table from beneath the hanging lamp after the Shabbos meal, causing the oil to fall into the keili that is under the table.

Even though he is **causing** the *keili* to become immobilized for the rest of Shabbos, nevertheless, since it is done through *Gramma* i.e., indirectly, it is *muter*. The *M"A*, *Shulchan Aruch HaRav* and *M"B* 6 all agree to this *psak*. See inside for other reasons for this *heter*.

## **Condensation from an Air Conditioner**

We find that the M"B (310:32) says that water condensation is Muktze. We would therefore apply what we have just learned to the following case: If an a/c unit's water is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Literally a base for a *Muktze*. We will learn more about this rule *Be"H*. learned elsewhere. In a nutshell: *Muktze* placed before Shabbos on top of a *heter*, causes the bottom item to be called a base of the *Muktze*, rendering it *Muktze* to the extent that even after the *Muktze* is removed or fell off, the base remains *Muktze*. The abovementioned *machlokes* is about whether an item becomes a base even though the intention was not to leave the *Muktze* on top of the *heter* for the entire Shabbos.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A separate one, not the *machlokes* in 309-4. This *machlokes* is whether it is *muter* to do *Bittul* for a short while.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See the *Shulchan Aruch HaRav* inside, he explains it beautifully. Also, the *Shulchan Aruch HaRav* in *Siman 266 footnote 11* expounds on this point.

dripping, one may not place a container beneath it to catch the water, since the water is *Muktze*, and it involves a problem of *Meratel keli me'heichano*. It does not mean that all is lost, because if the water is dripping into one's living quarters, there can be a *heter* based upon *Graf shel re'i* (a *heter Chazal* gave to move *Muktze* whenever a person is disgusted from the *Muktze*), which will be dealt with elsewhere. See *Biur Halacha* end of *Siman 338*. A Rav should be asked how to deal with this case.

M"B 7 discusses the Muktze status of a keili placed under a lamp before Shabbos to catch the dripping oil.

## **More Applications...**

SIMAN 305:19

#### An animal that fell into a water canal

The innovation of this Se'if is that it is muter to do Bittul keli me'heichano for the sake of Tza'ar Ba'alei Chayim – animal suffering. By placing quilts and pillows into the pit of water, the animal can possibly climb out by stepping on them. However, it's also possible the animal may just remain in the pit on top of them and they will remain Muktze. Additionally, even if the animal succeeds in climbing out and off of them, they will be unusable until after Shabbos when they dry and as long as they are wet, they are Muktze. See M''A 11, M''B 69.

## **Using a Tissue**

One might ask that according to this line of reasoning, why it is *muter* to soil a tissue or napkin, as one renders it unusable, and it might even become *Muktze?* 

The answer is that *Mevatel keli me'heichano* means to change the *keili* from its intended usage. A tissue is meant for that purpose and is therefore using it is not considered *Bittul keli me'heichano*. For that reason, it is *muter* to place garbage into a fresh garbage bag even though by doing so one renders the bag *Muktze*. See *Shemiras Shabbos K'hilchoso Chapter 22:24-27* and *footnote 47*.

## PLACING A VESSEL BENEATH AN OIL LAMP

Siman 265:4 Extinguishing

The *Mishna* on 47b says:

SIMAN265:4

It is muter to place a(n empty) keili (even on Shabbos) beneath a burning lamp to catch the sparks, but it is assur to place a keili with water (even before Shabbos) because one is extinguishing.



THE YESHIVA PIRCHEI SHOSHANIM SHULCHAN ARUCH PROJECT ORACH CHAIM | S H A B B O S | VOL. II LESSON 1 | SIMAN 265:3, 4 | 305:19

The *Gemora* explains that there is no problem here of *Mevatel keli me'heichano* because the sparks leave no material residue (*Shabbos* 47b) and therefore they do not create any *Bittul keli* even for a short while, *M"A 6*, *M"B 14*. The *M"A 6* quotes from the *Maharil* that although one may place a *keili* to catch sparks, it is *assur* to catch falling soot from the wick because that does leave material residue.

## **Placing a Keili with Water**

The *Gemora* says that it is *assur* to put water into the *keili* under a lamp even before Shabbos, lest one puts water into the *keili* on Shabbos itself.

What is the issur when putting water in the keili on Shabbos?

#### **Tosefos**

Tosefos 47b (מפני) says that when pouring water into the keili on Shabbos we are concerned he might raise the keili towards the sparks or the sparks might fall into the keili while one is adding the water, thereby actively extinguishing them on Shabbos. Chazal therefore forbade placing the water under the lamp even before Shabbos.

#### Rabbeinu Tam

Rabbeinu Tam (ibid.) argues on Tosefos and says that one is forbidden to place the keili and water there because it causes the fire to go out ahead of time.

To summarize, *Tosefos'* opinion is that there is nothing inherently wrong with putting water beneath fire because it is only a *Gramma* – an **indirect cause**<sup>8</sup> to extinguish, not an active action. *Rabbeinu Tam* argues that placing water directly underneath the lamp is not considered *Gramma.*<sup>9</sup> (This is a difficult *Tosefos* and if possible, it should be learned inside).

## **Gramma - Indirect Cause**

The Mishna in Shabbos 120a says:

It is muter to construct a barrier from all types of vessels (even new clay pots) filled with water in order to prevent a fire from spreading. R' Yossi forbids using new clay pots because they cannot withstand fire.

### **Vessels with Water**

The *Gemora* later on *Daf 120b* explains that *Chachamim* and *R' Yossi* argue whether *Gramma* to extinguish a fire is *muter* on Shabbos or not. Placing a vessel full of water in the fire's path eventually causes the fire to burn the vessel and then (indirectly) causing the water to extinguish the fire. The *Chachamim* permit this.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>We will soon see that according to *Halacha, Gramma* by extinguishing is *muter*. The *machlokes* here is how to define *Gramma*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The Chazon Ish (38-1) says that even according to Rabbeinu Tam it is not assur mide Oraissa, but it is worse than Gramma.

#### **A Garment on Fire**

The Gemora 120a says that if a garment catches on fire on one side, one is allowed to pour water onto the other side of the garment. This is according to the opinion of the Chachamim.

## **Placing Water into an Oil Lamp before Shabbos**

There's a common practice to place water underneath the oil of a lamp in order to raise the oil closer to the wick. This is done before Shabbos, before the lamp is lit. We need to examine whether this is a *Halachically* acceptable custom.

We will now explain the Gemora according to the two opinions of Tosefos mentioned above:

#### **Tosefos**

According to *Tosefos* there is no contradiction between the two cases (in *Shabbos 120a* and our case *Shabbos 47b*). In our case there's concern that he will raise the *keili* to the spark because one does not see any problem with putting water into a *keili* under a lamp and does not realize that he may unintentionally raise the *keili* to the sparks. However, when one pours water onto a garment or places vessels filled with water in a fire's path, care will be taken not to pour water directly onto the fire.

Also, there is no problem putting the water under oil inside a lamp because obviously one will not do such a thing on Shabbos for fear that he might put the fire out directly.

Tosefos cite the Yerushalmi that says that it also muter to form a "water barricade" in the fire's path, e.g., placing snow or blocks of ice. Tosefos agrees that this is also considered only a Gramma. (However, Rabbeinu Tam says that the Yerushalmi disagrees with our Gemora, and according to our Gemora a "water barricade" is assur because we consider this more direct).

#### Rabbeinu Tam

However, according to *Rabbeinu Tam*: a) Water under the oil in a lamp should be *assur* because it is directly in line where the sparks can fall. b) Pouring water onto a garment on fire should be *assur* because it is directly in front of where the fire will burn.

Tosefos answers, according to Rabbeinu Tam, the following: Putting water under the oil is muter because one's **intention** is to raise the oil not to extinguish the fire. b) Pouring water on a garment is muter because the main feat accomplished by the water is to **prevent** the fire from spreading and not to **put** the fire **out**.

#### **A Water Barricade**

Tosefos adds that even if we hold that the reason placing a keili with water under a lamp is assur, is because it is in direct line with the sparks, still, a water barricade is less of a direct

THE YESHIVA PIRCHEI SHOSHANIM SHULCHAN ARUCH PROJECT ORACH CHAIM | S H A B B O S | VOL. II LESSON 1 | SIMAN 265:3, 4 | 305:19

extinguishing of the fire than by placing a keili of water under the oil lamp.

#### SUMMARY

## Placing water beneath a lamp is Assur

*Tosefos* – one might extinguish the flame.

Rabbeinu Tam – The intention is to extinguish, and it is not called Gramma.

## Pouring water onto the other side of a burning garment is Muter

*Tosefos* – One will take care not to extinguish the fire.

Rabbeinu Tam – It is not called extinguishing because the intention is to prevent the fire from spreading.

## Water beneath oil in a lamp

Tosefos – One will not add water on Shabbos (and will therefore not extinguish the fire).

Rabbeinu Tam – The intention is not to extinguish the flame but rather to raise the oil.

## Using a water barricade

Tosefos – Gramma is muter.

Rabbeinu Tam – This type of Gramma is assur.

Another opinion (in *Tosefos*) – A "water barricade" is *muter* because the fire doesn't fall straight into the water as by a *keili* with water placed beneath an oil lamp, so it is less direct and therefore *muter*.

## LET US REVIEW THE SE'IF

*Mechaber -* However, water may not be placed into the container, even from before Shabbos, because one extinguishes the sparks prematurely.

This is a direct quote from the *Gemora*.

(cont.) Nevertheless, it is permitted to put water in an oil lamp one lights before Shabbos, because one's intention is not to extinguish the flame but rather to raise the oil.

This explanation is according to Rabbeinu Tam, but according to Tosefos the reason is because one will not do it on Shabbos.

<u>RAMA</u> Some authorities say that even if one's intention is to extinguish the flame it is permitted since the water is not directly beneath the flame, as it is beneath the oil, and is therefore only causing the flame

to be extinguished indirectly, and so is the custom.

This explanation is according to the other opinion in *Tosefos*. (The *Vilna Ga'on* says that the *Mechaber* and *Rama* are the two answers in *Tosefos*; see inside).

## **Placing Water into a Candlestick**

M"B 18 says that everyone will agree that it is *assur* to place water in a candlestick underneath a wax candle. The water is considered directly below the fire (unlike the water barricade) and one's intention is to put out the fire before it can damage the candlestick, unlike by oil where his intention is to raise the level of the oil to the wick.

However, when necessary, it may be done – see M"B.

See Shulchan Aruch HaRav 265:9 and Kunteres Acharon 3, Siman 514 Kunteres Acharon 3.

## **Placing Sand into a Candlestick**

It is *muter* according to all opinions, to put sand at the base of a wax candle in a candlestick because the sand does not extinguish the fire; it only prevents the flame from charring the candlestick.

To complement this subject, one should learn through the M"B in Siman 334:22-24.

## **Summary**

- It is assur to be Mevatel keli me'heichano on Shabbos.
- Oil that drips from a lit oil lamp on Shabbos is *Muktze*.
- Hagahos Ashri permits placing a keili beneath a wax candle to catch it when it falls off the candlestick. The Beis Yosef the M"A differ whether it is muter according to all opinions.
- If a *keili* is under the table one may move the table on Shabbos from beneath to catch oil dripping from a hanging oil lamp.
- If an a/c unit's water is dripping, one may not place a container beneath it to catch the water.
- It is *muter* to do *Bittul keli me'heichano* for the sake of *Tza'ar Ba'alei Chayim* animal suffering.
- Using paper plates and napkins do not fall in the category of *Bittul keli Me'heichano*.
- Placing a *keili* under an oil lamp is *assur* to be done on Shabbos. There are various explanations given.
- Placing water beneath a burning oil lamp is *assur* even when arranged before Shabbos. *Tosefos* and *Rabbeinu Tam* differ regarding the reason for this *Halacha*.
- It's *muter* to raise the oil level with water according to all opinions.

## **Review Questions**

- 1. What is the reason one may not make a keili Muktze on Shabbos?
- 2. Is Mevatel keli me'heichano assur mide'Oraissa or mide'Rabanan?
- 3. Why is it *assur* to place a *keili* beneath dripping oil, after all one could empty the oil?
- 4. Why is the oil inside the lamp *Muktze?*
- 5. Why is the oil that dripped out of the lamp *Muktze?*
- 6. Why is one permitted to place a plate beneath a wax candle but not beneath an oil lamp?
- 7. What is the problem with placing a bowl beneath water dripping from an air-conditioning unit?
- 8. What could be the problem with throwing pillows into water on Shabbos?
- 9. Why do we not learn from this that one would be forbidden to use a tissue or napkin on Shabbos because it becomes unusable?
- 10. Why is there a problem to place water in a *keili* below the sparks, after all the sparks only fall later into the water?
- 11. What are the two Gemoras on Shabbos 120a that deal with Gramma?
- 12. Do these Gemoras present a problem for Tosefos or Rabbeinu Tam?
- 13. How does Rabbeinu Tam reconcile his pshat with the Gemora?
- 14. May one place water in an oil lamp before Shabbos?
- 15. May one place water inside a candlestick beneath the candle? The purpose is to extinguish the candle when it reaches the water thus preventing the candle from messing the candlestick.

## **Review Answers**

- 1. There is a contradiction in *Rashi*. In one place he says because it is considered *Binyan* and in the other he says that it is *Soter* dismantling.
- 2. It is only assur mide 'Rabanan.
- 3. The only way to use the *keili* would be to tilt the oil out of the *keili*, and since one will not do that, one is *Mevatel* the *keili* to the *Muktze* oil.
- 4. Since it is assur to remove oil from the oil lamp the oil is *Muktze*.
- 5. Although the oil that dripped out the lamp is not prone to extinguishing any longer, it is still *Muktze*. This is because anything set aside for an *issur*, even though the reason for the *issur* no longer applies, remains *Muktze*.
- 6. The difference is that one will not and cannot tip the oil, but one can tip the candle from the plate. Although the *Beis Yosef* understands that this depends on a *machlokes*, the *Poskim* disagree and say that everyone agrees it is *muter*.
- 7. The water condensed through an a/c unit is *Muktze* because it is *Nolad* a new being. Accordingly, one may not place a *keili* to catch the water because of *Mevatel keli me'heichano*. One should therefore position the *keili* beneath the a/c before Shabbos and make sure that it is large enough to contain the dripping water.
- 8. Wet pillows are unusable and are probably Muktze as a Gezeira lest one squeezes them. Accordingly, it would involve an issur of ביטול כלי מהיכנו
   Bittul keli me'heichano.
- 9. Tissues are different because it is not a deviation of their usage and therefore it is not considered ביטול כלי מהיכנו. There would probably be a problem to place a towel into a puddle of water because of ביטול כלי and a *Rav* should be asked.

- 10. Tosefos holds that the problem is that a spark might fall while one is pouring the water and will directly extinguish the spark, or one might raise the *keili* towards the falling spark, which is also direct *Kibui*. Rabbeinu Tam learns that placing water directly below the sparks is worse than Gramma.
- 11. One deals with the placing of vessels in the way of fire and the other deals with wetting the other side of a burning garment. In both cases the *Chachamim* say it is *muter*.
- 12. According to *Tosefos* who ideally would permit putting water below sparks (if not for the *Gezeira*) they fit in nicely with *Tosefos' pshat* because *Gramma* is *muter*, and these cases are *Gramma*. *Rabbeinu Tam* who says it is *assur* to place water beneath sparks because it is *Kibui*, must explain why these two *Gemoras* are different.
- 13. The case of the water inside the vessel is simply a *Gramma* because the fire must first burn through the *keili* and then the water will extinguish it. Pouring water onto the garment is indeed not a *Gramma*, because the there is no physical barrier between the water and the fire, but since one does not intend to extinguish the fire rather to prevent its spreading, it is *muter*.
- 14. According to *Tosefos* it is *muter* because it is only a *Gramma*, and according to *Rabbeinu Tam* it is *muter* because one does not intend to extinguish the fire only to raise the oil.
- 15. According to *Tosefos* it is *assur* because it is similar to the vessel below sparks and according to *Rabbeinu Tam* it is *assur* because one intends to put out the fire.