

Short Circuit Evaluation of Java's Boolean Operators

Here's a table describing four of Java's boolean operators:

| | Meaning | Short circuit? |
|-------------------------|---------|----------------|
| <code>&&</code> | and | yes |
| <code>&</code> | and | no |
| <code> </code> | or | yes |
| <code> </code> | or | no |

The `&&` and `||` operators are *short circuit* operators. A *short circuit* operator is one that doesn't necessarily evaluate all of its operands. Take, for example, the operator `&&`. What happens when Java executes the following code?

```
if (0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) {  
    out.println("This line won't be printed.");  
}
```

You might expect Java to ask itself if 0 equals 1, and then ask if 2 + 2 equals 4. But with Java's `&&` operator, that's not what happens. Instead, Java does the following:

Evaluate `0 == 1`, discovering that `0 == 1` is **false**.

Realize that the condition `(0 == 1 && whatever)` can't possibly be **true**, no matter what the *whatever* condition happens to be.

Return **false** (without bothering to check if `2 + 2 == 4`).

The condition `(0 == 1 && whatever)` has to be **false**, because `0 == 1` is **false**. (Remember, the `&&` operator wants both conditions, on its left and right sides, to be **true**.)

So when Java finds the value on the left side of an `&&` operator to be **false**, then Java gives up and declares the entire expression to be **false**. That's called *short circuit* expression evaluation. The same kind of thing happens with the `||` operator (another short circuit operator) when the value on the operator's left side is **true**.

```
if (2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) {  
    out.println("This line will be printed.");  
}
```

Here's how Java's `||` operator behaves when it encounters this code:

Evaluate `2 + 2 == 4`, discovering that `2 + 2 == 4` is **true**.

Realize that the condition `(2 + 2 == 4 || whatever)` must be **true**, no matter what the *whatever* condition happens to be.

Return `true` (without bothering to check if `0 == 1`).

The condition `(2 + 2 == 4 || whatever)` has to be `true`, because `2 + 2 == 4` is `true`. (Remember, the `||` operator wants either condition, on its left or right side or on both sides, to be `true`.)

So when Java finds the value on the left side of an `||` operator to be `true`, then Java declares the entire expression to be `true`.

Java's `&&` and `||` operators use short circuit evaluation. Java's `&` and `|` operators also test for the "and" and "or" conditions, but these `&` and `|` operators don't do short circuit evaluation. In other words, when Java encounters the following code, Java checks to see if `0 == 1` is `true` and then, before giving its final answer, checks to see if `2 + 2 == 4` is `true`.

```
if (0 == 1 & 2 + 2 == 4) {
    out.println("This line won't be printed.");
}
```

Here's a program to illustrate each operator's behavior:

```
import static java.lang.System.out;;

public class OperatorEvalDemo {

    public static void main(String args[]) {
        new OperatorEvalDemo();
    }

    OperatorEvalDemo() {
        if (0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) {
            out.println("(0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) is true");
        } else {
            out.println("(0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) is false");
        }

        out.println();

        if (2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) {
            out.println("(2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) is true");
        } else {
            out.println("(2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) is false");
        }

        out.println();

        if (isFalse() && isTrue()) {
```

```

        out.println("(isFalse() && isTrue()) is true");
    } else {
        out.println("(isFalse() && isTrue()) is false");
    }

    out.println();

    if (isFalse() & isTrue()) {
        out.println("(isFalse() & isTrue()) is true");
    } else {
        out.println("(isFalse() & isTrue()) is false");
    }

    out.println();

    if (isTrue() || isFalse()) {
        out.println("(isTrue() || isFalse()) is true");
    } else {
        out.println("(isTrue() || isFalse()) is false");
    }

    out.println();

    if (isTrue() | isFalse()) {
        out.println("(isTrue() | isFalse()) is true");
    } else {
        out.println("(isTrue() | isFalse()) is false");
    }
}

boolean isTrue() {
    out.println("Executing isTrue");
    return true;
}

boolean isFalse() {
    out.println("Executing isFalse");
    return false;
}
}

```

And here's the program's output:

```
(0 == 1 && 2 + 2 == 4) is false
```

```
(2 + 2 == 4 || 0 == 1) is true
```

```
Executing isFalse
```

```
(isFalse() && isTrue()) is false
```

```
Executing isFalse
```

```
Executing isTrue
```

```
(isFalse() & isTrue()) is false
```

```
Executing isTrue
```

```
(isTrue() || isFalse()) is true
```

```
Executing isTrue
```

```
Executing isFalse
```

```
(isTrue() | isFalse()) is truea
```

Notice, for example, what happens with the `&&` operator. Java displays **Executing isFalse**. But then Java doesn't display **Executing isTrue** because the `&&` operator does short circuit evaluation. On the other hand, Java displays both **Executing isFalse** and **Executing isTrue** for the `&` operator, because the `&` operator doesn't do short circuit evaluation.

You may wonder why anyone would use one kind of operator instead of another. Consider the following code:

```
public class Oops {  
  
    public static void main(String args[]) {  
        Integer myInt;  
  
        myInt = new Integer(42);  
        if (myInt != null && myInt.intValue() == 42) {  
            System.out.println("Comparing 42 to 42");  
        }  
  
        myInt = null;  
        if (myInt != null & myInt.intValue() == 42) {  
            System.out.println("Comparing null to 42");  
        }  
    }  
}
```

Here's the code's output:

```
Comparing 42 to 42
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.NullPointerException
    at SideEffectDemo.main(SideEffectDemo.java:12)
```

This code checks twice to see if `myInt != null` and `myInt.intValue() == 42`. The first time around, the code uses short circuit evaluation. This is good because in this example, short circuit evaluation prevents Java from checking `myInt.intValue() == 42`.

But the second time around, the code doesn't use short circuit evaluation. No matter what happens when Java evaluates, `myInt != null`, the `&` operator marches on and evaluates `myInt.intValue() == 42`.

But here's the rub: If `myInt` has the value `null`, then the test is `myInt.intValue() == 42` destined to crash. This happens because you can't call a method (such as `intValue()`) on a `null` value. If you try, you get a `NullPointerException`. So in this example, the `&&` operator's short circuit evaluation saves you from crashing your program.

Occasionally you find situations in which you don't want short circuit evaluation. Usually these situations involve an evaluation's *side effect*. A *side effect* is something extra that happens during the evaluation of an expression. For example, in the `OperatorEvalDemo` program, displaying the line `Executing isTrue` is a side effect of evaluating the `isTrue()` expression.

Maybe, instead of displaying `Executing . . .` lines, your methods check and make fine adjustments to a heart monitor and a lung monitor.

```
if (checkAdjustHeart() & checkAdjustLung()) {
    System.out.println("Both monitors are OK");
}
```

You may want to force Java to call both methods, even if the first method returns a `false` ("not OK") result. The `&&` operator's short circuit evaluation doesn't always call both methods. So in this scenario, you use the `&` operator.

The Hotel Example in Java For Dummies

Consider the following code (from *Java For Dummies*, 4th Edition):

```
import static java.lang.System.out;
import java.util.Scanner;
import java.io.File;
import java.io.IOException;
```

```

import java.io.PrintStream;

public class FindVacancy {

    public static void main(String args[])
        throws IOException {
        Scanner kbdScanner = new Scanner(System.in);
        Scanner diskScanner =
            new Scanner(new File("GuestList.txt"));
        int guests[] = new int[10];
        int roomNum;

        for (roomNum = 0; roomNum < 10; roomNum++) {
            guests[roomNum] = diskScanner.nextInt();
        }

        roomNum = 0;
        while (roomNum < 10 && guests[roomNum] != 0) {
            roomNum++;
        }

        if (roomNum == 10) {
            out.println("Sorry, no v cancy");
        } else {
            out.print("How many people for room ");
            out.print(roomNum);
            out.print("? ");
            guests[roomNum] = kbdScanner.nextInt();

            PrintStream listOut =
                new PrintStream("GuestList.txt");

            for (roomNum = 0; roomNum < 10; roomNum++) {
                listOut.print(guests[roomNum]);
                listOut.print(" ");
            }
        }
    }
}

```

The **guests** array is declared as follows:

```
int guests[] = new int[10];
```

So there are elements named `guests[0]`, `guests[1]`, and so on up to (and including) `guests[9]`. There's no `guests[10]` element, so if Java tries to evaluate the expression

```
guests[10] != 0
```

then the program crashes with an `ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException`. Now look at the `while` statement in the `FindVacancy` code:

```
while (roomNum < 10 && guests[roomNum] != 0) {
    roomNum++;
}
```

What happens if the value of the `roomNum` variable is exactly 10? Then, because of the `&&` operator's short circuit evaluation, Java never evaluates the `guests[roomNum] != 0` expression. So the program doesn't crash.

But what if you reverse the tests in the `while` statement's condition?

```
while (guests[roomNum] != 0 && roomNum < 10) {
    roomNum++;
}
```

Then the program can crash. Java evaluates `boolean` conditions from left to right. (This happens with both the short circuit `&&` and `||` operators and with the non-short circuit `&` and `|` operators.) So before checking to make sure that `roomNum < 10`, Java evaluates the leftmost expression, `guests[roomNum] != 0`. Then Java tries to interpret `guests[10]` and crashes (because there's no `guests[10]` element).

The bottom line is, you must check `roomNum < 10` before you check `guests[roomNum] != 0`. To force Java to do the `roomNum < 10` check first, you put `roomNum < 10` on the left side of the `while` statement's condition. With `roomNum < 10` on the left side of the `&&` operator, short circuit evaluation prevents Java from accidentally evaluating `guests[roomNum] != 0` with `roomNum` equal to 10. Pretty slick, heh?