## Agenda

- We will be going to implement a simplified Routing Protocol in this course
- > Routing protocol chosen Interior gateway protocol (IGP, ex OSPF, ISIS)
  - ➢ Don't know about it − don't worry, we shall cover theory first before any implementation
- A typical IGP (link state) protocol functionality is divided into 4 distinct parts :
  - 1. Adjacency Management ( Each device know its neighbours )
    - Sending and Receiving hello packets periodically
    - Update neighborship state machine \_
  - Building Link State Database (Each device internally creates a view of topology Graph)
    - Building Link State packets
    - Flooding link state packets
    - Build a Graph a view of network topology
  - 3. Running SPF algorithm (Dijkstra) on LSDB
    - Process the LSDB through the algorithm
    - Compute Results and store
    - Algorithmically challenging
  - 4. L3 Route Calculations
    - Use Results of 3 to compute final L3 routes and update Routing Table
    - Algorithmically challenging

We shall be going to implement all 4 parts in this course series

Along the journey we shall implement various sub-features within the protocol



Congratulations for successfully completing the Adj Mgmt (Phase 1) of the project

- $\blacktriangleright$  You are marching toward being a pro programmer !  $\bigcirc$
- > In this Phase, we shall be going to implement Link-State Database Mgmt
- > Device running IGP protocols Generates periodic link state packets
- Link state packets contains :
  - Information about Originator Device
    - ➢ Rtr ID, Host Name
    - > Nbr information (Adjacencies in UP state)
    - > Other attributes
  - > ISIS LSPs are also TLV-ised packets
  - Every device share/flood its own LSPs throughout topology
    - Every device recv LSP of every other device in the topology
    - Result : Every device has a collection of LSP of every other device this is called Link-state-database (lsdb)
    - > LSDB represents a graph in which:
      - Nodes represent the IGP devices
      - Edges represent UP adjacencies
    - > This Graph represent the topology altogether
    - > End Goal of phase 2 : Every device must have exact same lsdb ( same view of the topology )



Lo: 122.1.1.3/32

**R**3

- Content of LSDB is exactly same on every routing device
- Every Routing Device has the same view of IGP Network Topology
- Every Routing Device then Runs several Algorithms on Local LSDB to compute results such as its local Routing Table

## **Topics**:

- 1. LSP format
- 2. Generation of LSPs
- 3. Periodic Flooding of LSPs
- 4. Generation of LSPs on several Events
- 5. Processing LSPs
- 6. Populating LSDB
- 7. Reconciliation (LSP DB Fast Synch)
- 8. Mini Project Interface Groups

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ LSP format



#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ LSP format $\rightarrow$ TLV22



TLV 22 = Nbr lo Addr + Metric + SubTLV 4 + SubTLV 6 + SubTLV 8

... Next TLV 22

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ LSP format $\rightarrow$ TLV22



TLV 22 = Nbr lo Addr + Metric + SubTLV 4 + SubTLV 6 + SubTLV 8

Given as Assignment

Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2: LSDB Mgmt  $\rightarrow$  LSP format  $\rightarrow$  API to encode TLV22

> TLV 22 is encoded from a given isis\_adjacency\_t object

Write below APIs in isis\_adjacency.c/.h

/\* Returns the total length of TLV 22 in bytes \*/ isis\_nbr\_tlv\_encode\_size( isis adjacency t \*adjacency,

uint8\_t \*subtly\_len); /\* Total length of all SUBTLVs with in a TLV 22 \*/

byte \* /\* Returns end of the buffer ptr after encoding/inserting a TLV 22 \*/ isis\_encode\_nbr\_tlv(

isis\_adjacency\_t \*adjacency, /\* Output buffer ptr to encode the in \*/ byte \*buff, uint16\_t \*tlv\_len); /\* length encoded (tlv overhead + data len)\*/



... Next TLV 22

TLV 22 = Nbr lo Addr + Metric + SubTLV 4 + SubTLV 6 + SubTLV 8

Content of

isis adjacency t:

1.122.1.1.1

4.50 (ifindex)

6.10 (cost)

7. uptime

8. state

2. **R**1 3.10.1.1.1 > ISIS protocol would have to manage LSP Pkts (its own, as well as remote)

- Update self-LSP contents
- Periodic flooding
- ➢ Install/un-install in LSDB
- LSDB synchronization

> Therefore, It is better to have a proper structure to govern the management of LSPs



 $\succ$  Whenever the device initializes the ISIS protocol, it initialize a uint32\_t sequence no to 0

```
typedef struct node_info_ {
    ...
    uint32_t seq_no;
    ...
} isis_node_info_t;
```

When device generates a new LSP pkt from scratch, this sequence number is incremented first and then fed into lsp pkt hdr

```
node_info->seq_no++;
lsp_pkt_hdr->seq_no = node_info->seq_no;
```

```
typedef struct isis_pkt_hdr_{
    isis_pkt_type_t isis_pkt_type;
    uint32_t seq_no; /* meaningful only for LSPs */
    uint32_t rtr_id;
    isis_pkt_hdr_flags_t flags;
} isis_pkt_hdr_t;
```

This Sequence number shall be used to control the flooding of LSPs in the topology, we shall revisit
 Higher the sequence no, younger is said to be the LSP (most recent or latest)

## **Topics**:

- 1. LSP format
- 2. Generation of LSPs
- 3. Periodic Flooding of LSPs
- 4. Generation of LSPs on several Events
- 5. Processing LSPs
- 6. Populating LSDB
- 7. Reconciliation (LSP DB Fast Synch)
- 8. Mini Project Interface Groups

Get Free Access to all our cases for 30 days trial : https://csepracticals.teachable.com/p/trial-goldmine ▶ ISIS Protocol, after creating self lsp pkt, will cache its own LSP packet so that :

- ➢ It has a quick access to its own LSP packet
- ➢ Re-transmit LSP packet as and when required



isis\_pkt.c/.h

void
isis\_create\_fresh\_lsp\_pkt (node\_t \*node);

- Create a new LSP pkt
- Discard the old one
- Cache the new one
- TLV Section of ISIS Lsp pkt must contain ISIS\_TLV\_HOSTNAME, and all TLV22s
- Protocol must trigger new fresh LSP pkt generation as a result of admin config change, Adjacency state change, in request of other devices ( on demand ), periodically etc.

> It make sense to have some show commands in our bag asap, which could display the LSP contents

▶ It would help in catching early bugs and proceed further

cli : show node <node-name> protocol isis lsdb

For now, just call the fn to generate new lsp pkt and just print the self - lsp pkt contents

		Eth	hdr			ISIS	S PK	KT I	Hdr	Ι	ISIS	TI	N Se	ectio	n		FCS	8	
					-										->				
uint32 t																			
· 1	1 1	1, 1	1 /1	. :	* 1	· · ·		1 /	1 1	/ *1		1 /	1 1			1,	`		

isis\_show\_one\_lsp\_pkt\_detail (byte \*buff, isis\_pkt\_hdr\_t \*lsp\_pkt\_hdr, size\_t pkt\_size);

Use the fn isis\_show\_one\_lsp\_pkt\_detail () to trace lsp packets by tracing infra

Demo!

Network Protocol Development → Phase 2: LSDB Mgmt → Introducing Asynchronous Programming

# Introducing Asynchronous

Programming

- > For further development of our project, we shall switch to Asynchronous Programming
- > We would be able to reap benefits of Asynchronous programming in our development
- Development made easy through Asynchronous Programming
- We shall realize the benefits of async programming as we progress more into the course (telling all benefits right here would only confuse you)
- First, let us get ourself introduced to the world of async programming and understand a subset of problems it solves for us, and understand why *sync programming alone* is a no go in big software projects
- We shall be going to use external library called Dispatch Queue which will allow us to program our protocol asynchronously. Technically it is also as called as Event Loop
- Asynchronous programming is a broad term, Dispatch Queue is just one programming techniques to realize a part of Asynchronous Programming
- ➢ Async Programming is also realized using Multithreading, Coroutines, etc.

- Before we proceed further, first we need to understand the terms clearly and understand the difference between them
- > Pls refer to Appendix Section B to understand basics of A(Synchronous) Programming Model
- Appendix B shall also explain a pit fall we would fall into in our project if we don't switch to async programming asap
- ➢ Proceed to next lecture video only after covering the appendix Section
- A Part of Async Programming which we shall going to use in our project is Work Deferral, as I explains in the next lecture video

- Let us discuss straightaway the problem we shall face if we do not have Async Programming ( esp work deferral ) in place
- Consider a device running our implementation of ISIS protocol. Let us say, device running 3 LSPs from remote nodes of the topology
  - Update Link State Database
  - Run SPF Algo
  - Calculate all Routes
  - Update Routing Table
- So, if you notice, device has to do lot of work whenever it recvs an LSP pkt, and that for every LSP pkt
- ▶ If LSP incoming pkt rate is constant and last long, device CPU would be high (100 %)
- Device is overloaded !!
- If the device synchronously process all incoming LSP pkts, sine time to process one LSP pkt take significant time, rest of LSP packets may throttle the incoming queue and get dropped



Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt  $\rightarrow$  Asynchronous Programming  $\rightarrow$  Redundant work Example 1

## > Solution :

been

If device could have deferred the SPF computation for a short while, and only update the LSDB with all 3 LSPs and trigger SPF only after 3 LSP is updated in LSDB, then device would not have to redundant work ( save CPU )



Note : SPF algo + Route cal/installation is quite a computationally intensive task and lot of research has done to minimize the number of SPF runs

- As the size of our protocol grows, there shall exist several external stimuli which revokes ISIS protocol to trigger computation
- $\succ$  For example :
  - > At time t1, say, a new LSP pkt recvd  $\rightarrow$  device trigger SPF synchronously
  - > At time t2, say, some adjacency goes up  $\rightarrow$  device regen new LSP  $\rightarrow$  trigger spf synchronously
  - Now if t1 and t2 are temporally very close, then we end up same problem, spf triggered by LSP pkt reception shall be redundant work
- $\succ$  Solution :
- > If device could have deferred the SPF computation and trigger it only after t2, then only one SPF run suffice

In general : Events which are temporally close enough and invoke same computation C should invoke only one instance of computation C (relate it to real life )

In General : Work Deferral comes under asynchronous programming world, and is very powerful Linux kernel use work deferral techniques such as tasklets, work Queues, timers, waitQueues

- The problem of redundant computation is just not specific to ISIS protocol, but common to software applications whose design somewhat matches to ISIS protocol
  - Packet Reception at high rate
  - External events reception at high rate (IPCs)
  - Various code paths leading to same computation
  - Common to Network Protocols

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ Asynchronous Programming $\rightarrow$ APIs to use

- Problems becomes more pronounced as software size grows (many code paths leads to invocation to same computation) and software scale grows.
- > In our project, any logically isolated non-trivial computation shall be performed asynchronously (by deferring it from main flow)
  - LSP packet generation
  - Flooding LSPs out of all local interfaces
  - ➢ Triggering SPF Algo
  - Route calculations and installation
- TCP-IP stack library provides the mechanism using which application can perform computation asynchronously

computation = F + ARG

instead of traditional fn call : F(ARG)

invoke a function F asynchronously :

task\_t \*task = task\_create\_new\_job(ARG, F, TASK\_ONE\_SHOT);

Eg : task\_t \*bar\_task = task\_create\_new\_job (arg, bar, TASK\_ONE\_SHOT

➤ To cancel the already scheduled job :

task\_cancel\_job(bar\_task); bar\_task = NULL;

Synchronous Call	Asynchronous Call
foo ( ) {	foo ( ) {
<pre> printf("this "); bar (arg); printf("is my fav "); }</pre>	<pre> printf("this "); task_t *bar_task =     task_create_new_job(arg, bar); printf("is my fav "); }</pre>
K_ONE_SHOT); bar, TASK_ONE_SHOT) }	par(void *arg) { printf ("bar");

- ➢ We wrote an API :
  - isis\_create\_fresh\_lsp\_pkt ()
  - > This API must be invoked when :
    - ISIS hello pkt recvd has different Hostname, if-index or metric value then what is there in local adjacency object on recipient interface [ isis\_update\_interface\_adjacency\_from\_hello ()]
    - > User config changed which results in content of LSP pkt to update
      - Interface up/down [ isis\_handle\_interface\_up\_down () ]
      - IP address change [ isis\_handle\_interface\_ip\_addr\_changed () ]
  - → Adjacency goes from init → UP, Or from UP → DOWN [*isis\_change\_adjacency\_state()*]
  - Adjacency in UP state is deleted via CLI : clear node <node-name> protocol isis adjacency [ isis\_delete\_adjacency ()]
  - Protocol Initialization at node level
    - $\succ$  [ isis\_init() ]
  - Periodically (later)
  - ▶ Remove the API call which we placed in show CLI backend handler, not required anymore

Let us first integrate this API at all appropriate places in a code so that protocol always advertise the most up to date information in its LSP

> Only Adjacencies in UP state as TLV 22

Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt  $\rightarrow$  Sync Method of Generating LSP Packets  $\rightarrow$  Testing

Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2: LSDB Mgmt  $\rightarrow$  Sync Method of Generating LSP Packets  $\rightarrow$  Redundant Computation



#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ Async Method of Generating LSP Packets

- Invoking isis\_create\_fresh\_lsp\_pkt() asynchronously using API isis\_schedule\_lsp\_pkt\_generation()
- Since LSP Gen is a node level work, therefore isis\_node\_info should contain a new member of type task\_t \*
- > Any work that if deferred to be done future is called as task



#### Periodic Generation of LSP

- > An ISIS node not only have to generate self LSP periodically, but also must disburse it
  - > This is done to ensure that all nodes of the topology has their LSDB in sync
- > Disburse means send the new LSP pkt out of all protocol enabled interfaces which has adjacency in UP state
- So let us implement the mechanism of periodic generation of self LSP
  - > Timer must start as soon as protocol is enabled on node level
  - Timer must be stopped only when protocol is disabled on a node
  - Flooding Time interval : #define ISIS\_LSP\_DEFAULT\_FLOOD\_INTERVAL 120 // but take 15 sec for testing purpose

```
APIs : isis_flood.c/.h ( new files )
```

```
void
isis_start_lsp_pkt_periodic_flooding(node_t *node);
```

```
void
isis_stop_lsp_pkt_periodic_flooding(node_t *node);
```

You are already familiar with the Timer library, pls try to do this by yourself. Use show command to verify that self LSP pkt's sequence number increases by 1 periodically

#### Disburse of LSP

Sending out the LSP packet out of all protocol enabled interfaces of a device which has Adj in UP state



isis_node_info_ {
 isis_lsp_pkt_t *self_lsp_pkt;
<pre> } isis_node_info_t;</pre>

isis\_intf\_info\_ {

glthread\_t lsp\_xmit\_list\_head;
 task\_t \*lsp\_xmit\_job;
 ....
} isis\_intf\_info\_t;

isis\_flood.h

typedef struct isis\_lsp\_xmit\_elem\_ {

isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \*lsp\_pkt;
glthread\_t glue;
} isis\_lsp\_xmit\_elem\_t;

Acts as a *glue* to attach LSP into Intf lsp xmit Queue

- Every interface has a Queue which maintains list of LSPs pending to be sent out of that interface
- Every interface has a task/job whose responsibility is to Disburse the pending lsp queue

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ LSP Periodic Generation and Disbursement

Disburse of LSP

Sending out the LSP packet out of all protocol enabled interfaces of a device which has Adj in UP state



Overall Procedure :

- 1. LSP to be disbursed is Queued into pending lsp queue for all eligible interfaces
- 2. Task/job to process pending lsp queue for each interface is scheduled
- 3. When task/job of an interface is triggered, it dequeues LSPs from interface's pending queue one by one and send them out of the interface
- 4. When pending queue of an interface is empty, task/job is finished
- 5. When sending out a given LSP out of all eligible interface is completed, then LSP is said to have completed its disbursement procedure

Highly Asynchronous Design !

Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2: LSDB Mgmt  $\rightarrow$  LSP Periodic Generation and Disbursement



void

isis\_flood.h/.c

4 Steps :

Step 1 : Queue the lsp\_pkt into interface lsp xmit Queue

Step 2 : Fork out the new task to dispatch lsp pkt out of this Queue, if not already

if (!intf\_info->lsp\_xmit\_job) {

 Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2: LSDB Mgmt  $\rightarrow$  LSP Periodic Generation and Disbursement

**Disburse of LSP** 

#### static void

isis\_lsp\_xmit\_job(void \*arg, uint32\_t arg\_size);

isis\_flood.c

Step 3 :
Dequeue LSPs one by one from interface's lsp xmit queue
 and send\_pkt\_out() it out of the interface

isis\_flood.h/.c

3

Highest Level API to be used

Step 4 : Iterate over all interfaces, and Queue LSP pkt for transmission for each interface

#### ITERATE\_NODE\_INTERFACES\_BEGIN(node, intf) {

if (intf == exempt\_intf) continue; isis\_queue\_lsp\_pkt\_for\_transmission(intf, lsp\_pkt);

} ITERATE\_NODE\_INTERFACES\_END(node, intf);



- Consider the protocol has Scheduled up its own LSP in LSP Xmit Queue of interfaces during LSP disbursement, at time t1
- At time t2, assume some Adjacency goes up ( or down )
- > Protocol will delete its own old LSP, and re-create a new one
- LSP xmit Queue would now hold the pointer to freed LSP packet (dangling reference), performing any operation on it would result in program crash, unexpected behaviour
- Moreover, if the old LSP is installed in LSDB, then LSDB would corrupt (freeing the node already inserted in a tree)
- Solution :
  - Protocol must free the lsp pkt only when no other object hold the reference to it
- > Let us understand this problem in general and discuss solution

#### **Reference Counting**

- When the application has a multi-reference object then such an object must be freed only when it is no more referenced by any other object
- > Pre-mature free of such an object would result in dangling pointers
- Solution : Program must destroy the object A only when no other object has a reference to it

#### **Reference count**

Maintain an integer ref\_*count* of A which keep tracks regarding how many other objects are referencing to A

B

Standalone object

Some Tree

iome linked list

Some other

- $\succ$  In this case it is 3
- > Malloc the object A with ref\_count = 0
- > Increase the ref\_count of A when some object holds the pointer to it ( reference ( A ) )
- > Decrease the *count* of A, when other object stop referencing object A (dereference (A))
- ➢ Free the object A when ref\_count of A reduces to 0
- > Never invoke free() on such objects directly, always free it through dereference() it





- lsp\_pkt->ref\_count = 6 as per diagram
- When protocol creates a new LSP pkt, ref\_count of old lsp\_pkt = 5 (node\_info->self\_lsp\_pkt will now point to new LSP pkt)
- When old lsp pkt is disbursed out of interface I1, ref\_count = 4
- ➤ When old lsp pkt is disbursed out of interface I2, ref\_count = 3
- When old lsp pkt is disbursed out of interface I3, ref\_count = 2
- When old lsp pkt is disbursed out of interface I4, ref\_count = 1
- When old lsp pkt is disbursed out of interface I5, ref\_count = 0
  - Old\_lsp\_pkt is freed !

#### isis\_pkt.c/.h

void

isis\_ref\_isis\_pkt (isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \*lsp\_pkt);

void

isis\_deref\_isis\_pkt (isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \*lsp\_pkt);

So, now our disbursement logic is full proof

- ▶ First of all, we should be able to verify whatever we have implemented so far is bug-free
- So, lets work towards our short-term goal to show link state packet contents using show command
- LSPDB is a database of Link State Packets the device has recvd + its own LSP
   Node level data structure
- > We shall model LSPDB as a balanced AVL Tree, keyed by router id
  - ➢ Install
  - ➢ Delete
  - Lookup
  - ≻ Walk
- ➢ We shall install/replace LSP packets in LSPDB
- Homework : Before proceeding to next lecture video, pls go through Appendix A section
- Implement a show CLI to print the link-state database content show node <node-name> protocol isis lsdb [<rtr-id>]

- ► LSDB is modelled as AVL tree
  - Define new AVL tree as member in isis\_node\_info\_t structure
- LSDB will contain isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t type objects keyed by router id.
   Define new Members in isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t
- > Initialize LSDB in isis\_init (), and destroy in isis\_de\_init()
- > APIs for LSDB Mgmt will go in isis\_lsdb.c | .h ( new files )
- > Let us discuss what all APIs we would be needing to manage lsdb and implement them

# isis\_lsdb.h/.c LSDB Integration Steps:

- Initialize isis\_init ()avltree\_init()
- > de\_initialize in isis\_de\_init()
  - void isis\_cleanup\_lsdb(node\_t \*node);
- Install API : bool isis\_add\_lsp\_pkt\_in\_lspdb(node\_t \*node, isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \*lsp\_pkt);
- Remove API : void isis\_remove\_lsp\_from\_lspdb(node\_t \*node, uint32\_t rtr\_id); : void isis\_remove\_lsp\_pkt\_from\_lspdb(node\_t \*node, isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \*lsp\_pkt);
- Validation : bool isis\_is\_lsp\_pkt\_installed\_in\_lspdb(isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \*lsp\_pkt);
- Vtil:byte\* isis\_print\_lsp\_id(isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \*lsp\_pkt);
- Util : bool isis\_our\_lsp(node\_t \*node, isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \*lsp\_pkt);
- Show:void isis\_show\_one\_lsp\_pkt\_detail(node\_t \*node, char \*rtr\_id\_str);
- Show:void isis\_show\_lspdb(node\_t \*node);
- Lookup : isis\_lsp\_pkt\_t \* isis\_lookup\_lsp\_from\_lsdb(node\_t \*node, uint32\_t rtr\_id);
- Look up : avltree\_t \* isis\_get\_lspdb\_root(node\_t \*node);

> All ISIS nodes has to process the LSP packets it recvs over interface Or self-originates



Revisiting Ref count of LSPs (Covered as Assignment)



- Remember LSPs are multi-reference objects
- ➤ we need to increase the ref count of LSP if it is installed in lsdb
- Dec the ref count if it is removed from lsdb
- Code changes
- ➢ We shall soon going to write a show command to verify ref counts of LSPs are correct

Q. Under normal protocol operation, what Should be the ref count of self LSP ? Ans : ?

Q. Under normal protocol operation, what Should be the ref count of remote LSPs ? Ans : ?

Normal Operation : No LSP is Queued for disbursement by protocol

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ LSP Flooding Algorithm

- > Ever ISIS node has to distribute its own LSP throughout the ISIS topology
- > This is done via Flooding Algorithm
  - Ensure Every Node's LSP Pkt is distributed to every other node
  - > Ensure the LSDB of all nodes is in sync and accurate
  - > Ensure there is no infinite distribution of LSPs (infinite loop)
  - > Ensure Addition/Deletion of new nodes in the topology (Later)
- > The LSP's Sequence no plays a key role in flooding Algorithm Implementation



• Blind flooding



> All ISIS nodes has to process the LSP packets it recvs over interface Or self-originates



Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt  $\rightarrow$  Self LSP Installation Rules

- ➢ ISIS have to maintain LSDB which store all remote LSPs recvd + Self own LSP
- ▶ It is ISIS responsibility that LSPs installed in LSDB is correct and accurate
- > When ISIS regenerates its own LSP, it should install the new self LSP in LSDB replacing the old one if exist
- Node may recv its own LSP from Remote Nodes as well as a part of flooding Algorithm

Event	Criteria	Recvd via interface	Action	Remark
isis_event_self_duplicate_lsp	isis_our_lsp(node, new_lsp) == TRUE && new_lsp->seq_no == old_lsp- >seq_no	Yes	Ignore the LSP	As a part of flooding algorithm, Node may recv its own duplicate lsp from other node. Since node already has it, no action
		No	assert(0);	Node never generate a new LSP pkt with same seq no as before
isis_event_self_fresh_lsp	isis_our_lsp(node, new_lsp) == TRUE && old_lsp == NULL	No	Install the LSP in LSDB, forward flood it	Propagate the LSP further as a part of flooding algorithm
		Yes	Ignore the LSP, regenerate self LSP pkt with higher seq no and blind flood	Somebody trying to game you ! Node receiving its own LSP when it itself doesn't have one, Node would try to overwrite its LSP in other node's lsdb
isis_event_self_new_lsp	isis_our_lsp(node, new_lsp) == TRUE && new_lsp->seq_no > old_lsp->seq_no	Yes	Ignore the LSP, regenerate self LSP pkt with higher seq no and blind flood	Somebody trying to game you ! Node receiving its own obsolete LSP, Node would try to overwrite its LSP in other node's lsdb
		No	Replace the new_lsp in LSDB with old_lsp, blind flood the new_lsp	Node is refreshing its own LSP with higher sequence no.
isis_event_self_old_lsp	isis_our_lsp(node, new_lsp) == TRUE && new_lsp->seq_no < old_lsp->seq_no	yes	Ignore the LSP, blind flood own LSP	Node would blind its own LSP so as to overwrite its own old lsp in other node's lsdb
		No	assert(0);	Node cannot generate new LSP with lower seq

Source S1 recv\_intf **ISIS** Protocol isis\_install\_lsp() **LSDB** 

Network

#### ▶ ISIS have to maintain LSDB which store all remote LSPs recvd + Self own LSP Network Source S1 Criteria Remark Event Recvd via Action interface Ignore the LSP isis event non local duplicate lsp isis\_our\_lsp(node, new\_lsp) Yes As a part of flooding algorithm, == FALSE && Node may recv own duplicate new\_lsp->seq\_no == remote lsp from nbr node old\_lsp->seq\_no recv\_intf No assert(0); Node never generate remote LSPs isis event non local fresh lsp isis\_our\_lsp(node, new\_lsp) No Node never generate remote LSPs assert(0) == FALSE && old lsp == NULL**ISIS** Protocol Yes Add LSP in DB. Forward Node recvd LSP of remote node for the first time, install it flood it Replace the old\_lsp with Node recvd more recent remote isis\_event\_non\_local\_new\_lsp isis\_our\_lsp(node, new\_lsp) Yes new\_lsp in lsdb, forward flood LSP, update the lsdb with new LSP == FALSE && new\_lsp->seq\_no > old\_lspnew lsp isis\_install\_lsp() >seq\_no No assert(0) Node never generate remote LSPs Ignore the LSP, shoot back Node would try to overwrite the isis\_event\_non\_local\_old\_lsp isis\_our\_lsp(node, new\_lsp) ves older remote LSP in other node == FALSE && the LSP already in LSPDB on **LSDB** new\_lsp->seq\_no < old\_lspreceiving interface LSDB by advertising the newer remote LSP is has >seq\_no No assert(0); Node never generate remote LSPs

- ➤ We implemented isis\_install\_lsp() which implements the
  - Local LSDB database update
  - > LSP flooding
  - ► LSDB synchronization
- > Any bug introduced in this fn can have devastating effect :
  - LSPs can go in infinite flooding
  - > LSPs can replicate to millions ( like bacteria , causing system going OOM )
  - ➢ System hang, process killed
  - > Functional bugs LSPs missing in LSDB, LSDBs is not in sync state across topology
  - > What about Memory leaks ?
- > You need to test out the API starting from smaller topologies
  - > 1 point-to-point link between two devices
  - Gradually increase topology size by adding nodes and links
  - Test out your code for at-least 5 days
  - > Use show CLIs to verify the functionality

#### ➢ Bug Fixes :

- > We had introduced 2 bugs in isis\_install\_lsp (), lets exercise how did I resolve them
- > You will suffer if you had not put traces in your code, specially in this function (dynamic feature)
- > You would have to depend on logs/tcp\_log\_file to resolve bugs related to lsdb mgmt, gdb wont help much
- ▶ I give you some instances how to resolve bugs related to dynamic features ! Same Technique applies to production code

- > Phew !! The core part of the proto dev is finished ! Congratulations once again.
- > But the show is not finished yet, we have completed approx 70% of the protocol development
- > And Our Protocol is growing in size .. Have you counted # of lines we have coded so far in isis dir
- It is desirable to introduce some event counters which helps user keeps a track regarding how many times what events have gener triggered by the protocol during the course of its operation in the network

Eg : Counter to count no of self LSP pkt generation Counter to count no of Adj state transitions Counter to count LSP recv events Counter to count no of times adjacency attributes changed and many more ...

These counters give an idea to the user how the ISIS protocol is reacting to the network, health check of the network as well indix
 Very helpful in debugging as well

➤ Addition of more features, introduce more related counters in future

tcp-ip-stack> \$ show node R2 protocol isis event-counters Parse Success. Event Counters : **ISIS EVENT ADJ STATE CHANGED : 4 ISIS EVENT ADMIN CONFIG CHANGED : 1 ISIS EVENT NBR ATTRIBUTE CHANGED : 0 ISIS EVENT UP ADJACENCY DELETED**:0 **ISIS EVENT SELF DUPLICATE LSP : 0** ISIS EVENT SELF FRESH LSP : 1 **ISIS EVENT SELF NEW LSP : 6 ISIS EVENT SELF OLD LSP : 0 ISIS EVENT NON LOCAL DUPLICATE LSP: 59** ISIS EVENT NON LOCAL FRESH LSP : 5 ISIS EVENT NON LOCAL NEW LSP: 27 ISIS EVENT NON LOCAL OLD LSP: 0 **ISIS EVENT PERIODIC LSP GENERATION : 1 ISIS EVENT ADMIN ACTION DB CLEAR : 0** CLI returned

```
typedef struct isis_node_info_ {
....
    /* event counts*/
    uint32_t isis_event_count[isis_event_max];
....
} isis_node_info_t;
```

- If user disables the protocol ISIS on a node of the topology, then rest of the nodes in the topology must almost immediately delete the LSP pkt of the former node, and must not rely on timeout of LSP timer
- > This is achieved via Purge LSP
- A purge LSP is an LSP in which there is no TLVs except ISIS\_TLV\_HOSTNAME and only isis\_pkt\_hdr\_t, with purge bit set in isis\_pkt\_hdr\_t->flags

#define ISIS\_LSP\_F\_PURGE\_LSP (1) in isis\_const.h

- When other routers in the topology recvs this purge LSP pkt, they immediately delete the existing LSP pkt from their LSDB and forward-flood the purge LSP
- Purge LSP is also flooded using same LSP flooding algorithm, whichever node recvs it, delete the copy the LSP pkt from its LSDB from forward-flood it
- Result : All nodes in the topology have got rid of LSP packet of a dead ISIS router ! LSDB of all nodes end up in sync
   Demo

#### void

isis\_create\_and\_flood\_purge\_lsp\_pkt\_synchronously (node\_t \*node);

- isis\_create\_fresh\_lsp\_pkt(node);
- isis\_flood\_lsp\_synchronously(node, node\_info->self\_lsp\_pkt); // flood the purge LSP

// create purge LSP

```
Read flags
void
isis_de_init (node_t *node) {
   interface t * intf;
   isis_node_info_t *isis_node_info = ISIS_NODE_INFO(node);
                                                                          . . .
                                                                          isis_node_info_t;
  if (!isis_node_info) return;
  isis_create_and_flood_purge_lsp_pkt_synchronously(node);
  . . .
```

typedef struct isis\_node\_info\_ {
....
/\* control flags to generate LSP \*/
uint8\_t lsp\_gen\_flags;
....
lisis\_node\_info\_t;

/\* LSP pkt generation flags in isis\_pkt.h \*/
#define ISIS\_LSP\_PKT\_CREATE\_PURGE\_LSP 1

#### void

isis\_create\_and\_flood\_purge\_lsp\_pkt\_synchronously (node\_t \*node);

• isis\_create\_fresh\_lsp\_pkt(node);

// create purge LSP

• isis\_flood\_lsp\_synchronously(node, node\_info->self\_lsp\_pkt); // flood the purge LSP

```
isis_flood_lsp_synchronously (node_t *node, isis_lsp_pkt_t *lsp_pkt) {
  interface_t *intf;
  isis_intf_info_t *intf_info;
  ITERATE_NODE_INTERFACES_BEGIN (node, intf) {
    if (!isis_node_intf_is_enable((intf))) continue;
    intf_info = ISIS_INTF_INFO(intf);
    if (intf_info->adjacency &&
       intf_info->adjacency->adj_state == ISIS_ADJ_STATE_UP) {
         send_pkt_out (lsp_pkt->pkt, lsp_pkt->pkt_size, intf);
```

} ITERATE\_NODE\_INTERFACES\_END (node, intf);

#### Protocol Convergence

- > When the protocol is disturbed (adj gone up/down, admin config etc), it triggers the entire computation cycle :
  - > Regenerate self LSP and disburse
  - ➢ Run SPF algorithm
  - > Compute routes
  - > Update Routing Table
- Time interval beginning from the point when protocol was stimulated (t1), to the point when protocol has updated it Routing table again
   (t2) is called protocol convergence time (t2 t1)
- ➤ Lesser convergence time is desirable
- > Until the protocol converges, Routing table has stale routes which results in traffic loss, traffic loop etc (Explained in theory sec)
- Protocol undergoes convergence are of two types :
  - Event based triggered convergence (Fast)
  - > Timer based triggered convergence (Slow)

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2: LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ Reconciliation $\rightarrow$ Protocol Convergence

#### Protocol Convergence

Ex of Event based convergence (Fast)



➤ All routers recvs the update almost instantly

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ Reconciliation $\rightarrow$ High Convergence Problem

#### Protocol Convergence

Ex of Timer based convergence (Slow)

R0 R1 R2 R2 R2 R2 R2 R3

Assume flood time interval set is 15 sec

- At t1 R1->R0 Adj goes up R1 regen LSP-R1 and flood R2 and R3 recvs and update lsdb R0 ignores because R0->R1 adj is not up yet
- 2. At t2, R0->R1 Adj goes up
  - 1. R0 regen LSP-R0 and flood
  - 2. R1 recvs and update lsdb
  - 3. R1 forward flood
  - 4. **R**2 and **R**3 recvd and update lsdb

R0 would not recv LSPs of R1, R2 & R3 until they periodically floods it

- Higher the flood time interval, higher the convergence time
- Setting flood time interval too rigorous would result frequent LSP flooding which would eat up lot of network resources in big topologies (Scaling problem)
- Solution : Reconciliation

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : LSDB Mgmt $\rightarrow$ Reconciliation Explained



- When the ISIS router detects its adjacency has gone UP, it enters into reconciliation phase (void isis\_enter\_reconciliation\_phase(node\_t \*node))
- In reconciliation phase, router generates its self-LSP pkts at an interval of 2 sec ( default)
- On demand TLV is inserted into LSP pkt when router is operating in reconciliation phase
- When other router recvs LSP pkt with OD TLV, it blind floods its own LSP in addition to processing the LSP as per the normal LSP flooding algorithm, provided that recipient router is not running in <u>reconciliation phase</u>
- When router enters reconciliation phase, it also starts the reconciliation timer (10 sec) (void isis\_start\_reconciliation\_timer(node\_t \*node))
- When reconciliation timer expires, router exits the reconciliation phase and resume self lsp pkt periodic flooding as normal (void isis\_exit\_reconciliation\_phase(node\_t \*node))
- When router operating in reconciliation phase detects adj transitions, it restarts the reconciliation timer (void isis\_restart\_reconciliation\_timer(node\_t \*node))
- Router operating in Reconciliation phase process remote router's LSPs as normal (no change)

void isis\_stop\_reconciliation\_timer(node\_t \*node)
bool isis\_is\_reconciliation\_in\_progress(node\_t \*node)

- > Router operating in Reconciliation phase has the following special behaviour :
  - Generate self LSPs in every 2 sec , overriding the flood LSP interval value
  - Runs the Reconciliation timer
  - Restart the reconciliation timer, when any Adj goes UP
- > Whether or not Router is operating in Reconciliation timer, it always responds to On-Demand TLV
- > The purpose of the Reconciliation phase is to enable router to snatch the LSPs from other routers in the topology !
  - > Like its your first day at school and you are cracking funny jokes to make/attract new friends in the first week ©
- > LSDB sync no more depends on periodic LSP flooding due to reconciliation
- Lets Code Reconciliation !

- > When other router recvs remote LSP pkt with OD TLV :
  - > if recipient router is executing in reconciliation phase
    - Process LSP as per the LSP flooding algorithm (isis\_install\_lsp())
    - ➢ ignore OD TLV

### ≻ Else

- Process LSP as per the LSP flooding algorithm (isis\_install\_lsp())
- blind floods its own LSP with higher seq no

#### Solution :

```
isis_install_lsp() {
```

```
••
```

if ( !isis\_is\_reconciliation\_in\_progress (node) &&
 !self\_lsp &&
 isis\_present\_on\_demand\_tlv(new\_lsp\_pkt) &&
 !node\_info->lsp\_gen\_task) {

```
isis_schedule_lsp_pkt_generation(node);
```



Self two routers are in reconciliation phase, then they form LSP storm in the network, that's the reason they should ignore OD TLV

### **Core Network Development – Phase 2 Finished**

## Where to go from here ?

- > We will be going to implement a simplified Routing Protocol in this course
- > Routing protocol chosen Interior gateway protocol (IGP, ex OSPF, ISIS)
  - ▶ Don't know about it don't worry, we shall cover theory first before any implementation
- A typical IGP (link state) protocol functionality is divided into 4 distinct parts :
  - Adjacency Management ( Each device know its neighbours )-
    - Sending and Receiving hello packets periodically
    - Update neighborship state machine
  - 2. Building Link State Database (Each device internally creates a view of topology Graph)
    - Building Link State packets
    - Flooding link state packets
    - Build a Graph a view of network topology
  - 3. Running SPF algorithm (Dijkstra) on LSDB
    - Process the LSDB through the algorithm
    - Compute Results and store
    - Algorithmically challenging
  - 4. L3 Route Calculations
    - Use Results of 3 to compute final L3 routes and update Routing Table
    - Algorithmically challenging

We shall be going to implement all 4 parts in this course series

Along the journey we shall implement various sub-features within the protocol



- We have completed the core development of phase 2 of the project and now its time to add one feature to the protocol
- > This is optional feature even if you skip, further project development is not impacted
- Interface groups A feature to optimize LSP flooding
  - > Pledge that
    - > You have thoroughly tested LSDB Mgmt and LSP flooding
    - > You have thoroughly completed all assignment
    - > You have all show/config CLIs in place which we have discussed so far
    - > You are confident that there are no major bugs in the project
    - > Your code is stable and ready for further development

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : Mini Project – Interface Groups $\rightarrow$ Problem Statement

- > There is a lot of redundant flooding of LSPs whenever there are parallel links in the topology
- > Waste Processing, eats up network bandwidth



- > Flooding LSP on exactly one qualified parallel link is enough
- > We can suppress the redundant event *isis\_event\_non\_local\_duplicate\_lsp* through interface group feature
- > LSP should be sent out on exactly one parallel link whichever is available at the time of LSP disbursement
- > All parallel PTP links between two devices are treated as one group called interface groups
- > Interface groups is a group of local interfaces which connects to same nbr node
- > Protocol sees parallel links as just one link and send out LSP pkt on available member sub-link
- > Can you think of the Data structure / Design / Algorithm to implement this functionality !
- > This is how you shall be implementing various new features on existing protocol in the industry.

> LSP flooding with Interface Groups



- LSP pkt recvd on a interface Group is not flooded back on that interface group
- Saves lot of redundant LSP flooding and Network Resources
- A node can have multiple interface Groups
   Ex, R1 has 3 interface groups
- Interface groups is a group of local interfaces which connects to same nbr node
- How can a given node name multiple interface groups such as interface groups are unique for a given device ?
   Soln : Using Nbr rtr id as identifier of interface

groups

#### Interface Groups Design



- Every interface Group is identified by nbr rtr id
- > Interface Grp is a collection of parallel interfaces
- A Node can have multiple interface grp, therefore maintain a db of interface groups

typedef struct isis\_intf\_group\_ {

char name[ISIS\_INTF\_GRP\_NAME\_LEN]; /\* key \*/
glthread\_t intf\_list\_head;
avltree\_node\_t avl\_glue;
isis\_intf\_group\_t;

typedef struct isis\_node\_info\_ {

/\* Tree of interface Groups \*/ avltree\_t intf\_grp\_avl\_root; /\* Dynamia intf\_grp\_\*/

/\* Dynamic intf grp \*/ bool dyn\_intf\_grp;

•••

} isis\_node\_info\_t;

typedef struct isis\_intf\_info\_ {

- ••
- /\* glue to add to interface group\*/
  glthread\_t intf\_grp\_member\_glue;
  isis\_intf\_group\_t \*intf\_grp;

•••

} isis\_node\_info\_t;

#### Interface Groups Design -> Algorithm



- When a node will create a new interface group and add interfaceSteps :
  - Node R1 brings up Adjacency with node R2 on local interface R1-if1
  - Node R1 search in intf grp db if there exist intf\_grp with name 2.2.2.2
  - > If yes, add R1- if1 in interface grp's intf list
  - ➢ If Not, create a new intf grp "2.2.2.2", add it to intf grp db and, add R1-if1 in interface grp's intf list
- > When a node will delete an interface from interface grp
- $\succ$  Steps :
  - Node R1 brings down Adjacency with node R2 on local interface R1-if1
  - Node R1 search in intf grp db if there exist intf\_grp with name 2.2.2.2
  - ➢ If not found, done
  - If found, remove R1-if1 from intf grp intf list. If intf list is empty, delete interface group also

#### Interface Groups Design -> Algorithm



#### isis\_schedule\_lsp\_flood() to be updated !

- > How to do controlled LSP flooding
  - Blind Flooding Steps :
    - Iterate over all interface groups
    - Select the Ist interface in interface-grp list
    - Schedule lsp xmit on this interface

#### Forward Flooding Steps:

- Let *exempt\_iif* is the exempted interface ( LSP pkt recvd on this interface )
- Iterate over all interface groups
- If intf\_grp has member exempt\_iif, skip this intf\_grp
- Select the Ist interface in interface-grp list
- Schedule lsp xmit on this interface

Note : The lsp flooding behaviour must fallback to Old behaviour if interface group feature is disabled on a node Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2 : Mini Project – Interface Groups  $\rightarrow$  Design Steps

➢ CLIs



Show:

Show node <node-name> protocol isis interface-groups < Output attached in Resource Section >

Config:

config node <node-name> [no] protocol isis interface-groups [ Enabled by default ]

#### Network Protocol Development $\rightarrow$ Phase 2 : Mini Project – Interface Groups $\rightarrow$ Design Steps

> APIs



int

isis\_config\_dynamic\_intf\_grp(node\_t \*node);

int

isis\_un\_config\_dynamic\_intf\_grp(node\_t \*node);

void

void

isis\_dynamic\_intf\_grp\_build\_intf\_grp\_db(node\_t \*node);

void
isis\_intf\_grp\_cleanup(node\_t \*node);

void
isis\_init\_intf\_group\_avl\_tree(avltree\_t \*avl\_root);

isis\_intf\_group\_t \*
isis\_intf\_grp\_look\_up(node\_t \*node, char \*intf\_grp\_name);

Network Protocol Development  $\rightarrow$  Phase 2 : Mini Project – Interface Groups  $\rightarrow$  Design Steps

> APIs



bool

isis\_intf\_group\_t \*
isis\_intf\_group\_create\_new(char \*grp\_name);

#### bool

#### void

  $\succ$  Testing



- > Ensure that unnecessary LSP flooding do not happen
- > ISIS LSDB is in sync across all topologies
- Use show CLIs to ensure LSP pkts are not being transmitted over redundant parallel links
- clear node <node-name> protocol isis adjacency must also delete all interface grps, but intf-grps will form again as soon as adjacency starts forming ag due to continuous hellos
- > Take care of protocol shut-down procedure
  - > Delete all interfaces from interface grp intf list
  - Delete interface groups
  - Add assert check in isis\_check\_and\_delete\_intf\_info() to ensure that interface is not Queued up in intg grp interface list
  - Add assert check in isis\_check\_delete\_node\_info() to ensure that interface grp DB is empty

Hope You Enjoyed this Course ! END of Phase 3 &