## 12. 2-1-2 Adjectives

## Lesson in a Nutshell

2-1-2 adjectives follow the 2-1-2 pattern of declension. They are by far the most common.

When you look up the adjective ${ }_{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ ó $\varsigma$ in the lexicon, it will be listed like this:

$$
\alpha \not \gamma \gamma \Theta o ́ s, ~ \eta ́, ~ o ́ v ~
$$

Please notice that there is no article. Why?
Because $\alpha \hat{\alpha} \alpha \theta$ ós is not a noun. It is not masculine or feminine or neuter.
${ }_{\alpha} \not \gamma \gamma \theta \circ \varsigma$ is an adjective. It has to be able to be masculine AND feminine AND neuter.
The lexicon gives you the endings (in red above) to tell you how it behaves in all three genders.
$\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ó $\varsigma$ is the masculine form
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \theta \eta \dot{\eta}$ is the feminine form
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta^{\prime} \mathrm{v} v$ is the neuter form
$\alpha \quad \alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ ó is a 2-1-2 adjective. This means it follows
second declension in the masculine (2)
first declension in the feminine, and (1)
second declension in the neuter (2)

Just like the article!

Do you see why we must have all three genders? Because "good" needs to be able to modify masculine and feminine and neuter nouns. This is unlike English. English has only one form. Compare the English adjective "good" with the Greek adjective ' $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ o $\varsigma$.

| Case, Number, Gender | Greek | English |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nominative, singular, masculine |  | good man |
| nominative, plural, masculine |  | good men |
| nominative, singular, feminine | $\alpha \nsim \gamma \alpha \theta \eta \gamma \sim{ }^{\prime}$ | good woman |
| nominative, plural, feminine |  | good women |
| nominative or accusative, singular, neuter |  | good work |
| nominative or accusative, plural, neuter | о' $\gamma \alpha \theta \alpha$ ' $¢ \rho \gamma \alpha$ | good works |
| genitive, singular, neuter |  | of a good work |
| dative, singular, neuter |  | to a good work |

Do you see how "good" never changes form, but ${ }_{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ o $\varsigma$ is constantly changing to match the noun it modifies?


Now the lights are going on!

Notice how the endings follow the 2-1-2 pattern (in blue). Also, compare the endings of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta$ ó $\varsigma$ with the article below. Do you see how similar the endings are?


| The Article |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | N |
|  | Nominative "the" | $\bigcirc$ | ท | $\tau 0$ |
|  | Genitive "of the" | т0vิ | $\tau \bar{¢}$ | $\tau 0 \hat{}$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ |  | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ |
|  | Accusative the | тóv | $\tau \eta ้$ | тó |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \overline{\frac{\pi}{3}} \\ \overline{\mathrm{~N}} \end{array}$ | Nominative "the" | oi | $\alpha$ ¢i | то́ |
|  | Genitive "of the" | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{V}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{V}$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | тois | тois | тois |
|  | Accusative "the" | น0v์s | тós | то́ |

Greek may seem complicated at first. But as you go along, you will come to recognize a few consistent patterns that reveal the case, number and gender of every substantive. It will become as plain as the nose on your face.

Please study the chart below and be certain that all the letters in green make sense to you. Be sure you understand:

1. That they follow the 2-1-2 pattern of declension.
2. That the articles and adjectives match the noun they modify in case, number and gender.

## 3. The translations.



