

TOPIC SUMMARY: **RADIOMETRIC DATING**

EVOLUTIONARY TEXTBOOKS POINT OUT THE AGE OF THE EARTH IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. THEIR THEORY NEEDS MILLIONS AND MILLIONS OF YEARS FOR EVOLUTION TO HAPPEN. IF THE EARTH IS YOUNG, THEN DARWIN'S IDEA WOULD BE REFUTED AND ABANDONED. BUT ARE THEY CORRECT, DOES SCIENCE PROVE THE EARTH TO BE OLD?

RADIOMETRIC DATING RELIES ON OBSERVING HOW QUICKLY RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL DECAYS INTO NON-RADIO-ACTIVE MATERIAL. HOWEVER, DATING RELIES ON SEVERAL UNPROVEN ASSUMPTIONS:

1. THAT SCIENTISTS KNEW HOW MUCH RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL WAS IN THE ROCK WHEN IT WAS FIRST FORMED.
2. THAT THE ROCK WAS NOT CONTAMINATED WITH EITHER THE RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL, OR THE MATERIAL IT DECAYS INTO, FROM OUTSIDE THE ROCK.
3. THAT THE RATE OF DECAY WAS CONSTANT.

NOVARUPTA



NOVARUPTA IS A VOLCANO IN KATMAI PARK LOCATED IN THE ALASKAN

PENINSULA. IT ERUPTED ON JUNE 6, 1912. ONE HUNDRED YEARS LATER, DR. DAVID SHORMANN RETRIEVED A ROCK SAMPLE FROM THE LAVA DOME AND HAD IT DATED AT A PROFESSIONAL LAB. ALTHOUGH THE ROCK WAS EXACTLY 100 YEARS OLD, RADIOMETRIC DATING PLACED IT AT 5.5 MILLION YEARS!

FROM OBSERVATION, WE KNOW THESE ASSUMPTIONS MUST BE WRONG BECAUSE SEVERAL ROCKS THAT WE KNOW TO BE YOUNG HAVE BEEN DATED TO BE VERY ANCIENT. SO IF THE DATING METHODS HAVE FAILED ON ROCKS WITH KNOWN AGES, WHY DO WE TRUST THEM FOR DATING ROCKS OF UNKNOWN AGES?

ALSO, RADIOCARBON DECAYS VERY QUICKLY—AND WOULDN'T EVEN BE DETECTABLE AFTER 100,000 YEARS; AND YET, WE STILL FIND IT IN DIAMONDS THAT ARE SUPPOSEDLY BILLIONS OF YEARS OLD. A BETTER EXPLANATION IS THAT DIAMONDS ARE MUCH YOUNGER.

THE EVIDENCE SHOWS THAT RADIOMETRIC DATING DOES NOT WORK, AND THINGS ARE ACTUALLY MUCH YOUNGER THAN CLAIMED. THIS IS A SERIOUS PROBLEM FOR THE FOUNDATION OF EVOLUTION, WHICH DEPENDS ON "DEEP TIME." INSTEAD, THE EVIDENCE FITS WITH THE THOUSANDS OF YEARS OF EARTH HISTORY RECORDED IN THE BIBLE!