| 1 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00:00:00.570 --> 00:00:03.080 | 00:00:36.310 --> 00:00:39.520 |
| All right, so this first thing I'm talking about is lip support. | The only thing he's missing now is the space occupied by the teeth. |
| 2 | 14 |
| 00:00:03.250 --> 00:00:08.220 | 00:00:40.650 --> 00:00:47.840 |
| Very, very, very first thing, it determines if the patient's going to be a | Now if you try to restore that patient with a tooth only defect, and you try to |
| 3 | 15 |
| 00:00:08.230 --> 00:00:10.280 | 00:00:47.850 --> 00:00:51.780 |
| candidate for all in four or not. | do an all in four, all in X kind of prosthesis for him, it's going to fail. |
| 4 |  |
| 00:00:10.590 --> 00:00:11.860 | 16 |
| So let's get into it. | 00:00:52.310 --> 00:00:57.500 |
|  | There's just not enough space there, and |
| 5 | it's just not going to work very well at all. |
| 00:00:13.410 --> 00:00:17.960 |  |
| So when a patient first gets their teeth | 17 |
| taken out, they have what's called a, you | 00:00:58.050 --> 00:01:02.840 |
|  | So over time, a patient's ridge resorbs, right? |
| 6 |  |
| 00:00:17.970 --> 00:00:20.080 | 18 |
| know, I hate the terminology, but it's | 00:01:02.930 --> 00:01:05.720 |
| called a tooth only defect. | So after a while of not having teeth, the |
| 7 | 19 |
| 00:00:20.250 --> 00:00:23.200 | 00:01:05.730 --> 00:01:07.000 |
| That's the terminology that people have been using, tooth only defect. | ridge starts to resorb. |
|  | 20 |
| 8 | 00:01:07.190 --> 00:01:09.720 |
| 00:00:23.690 --> 00:00:25.220 | In the maxilla, the ridge resorbs upwards |
| So for example, check this guy out. |  |
|  | 00:01:09.730 --> 00:01:10.340 |
| 00:00:25.230 --> 00:00:28.720 | and backwards. |
| He just got his teeth taken out, and he's |  |
| 10 | 00:01:10.690 --> 00:01:12.620 |
| 00:00:28.730 --> 00:00:32.380 | In the mandible, the ridge resorbs |
| only missing the volume that was occupied by the teeth, | 23 |
|  | 00:01:12.630 --> 00:01:14.140 |
| 11 | downwards and backwards. |
| 00:00:32.830 --> 00:00:33.100 |  |
| right? |  |
|  | 00:01:14.810 --> 00:01:16.720 |
|  | And this basal part of the bone right |
|  |  |
| 00:00:33.250 --> 00:00:36.140 <br> So his ridge is still where it was at. |  |


| 25 | 37 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00:01:16.730 --> 00:01:20.940 | 00:01:56.650 --> 00:01:57.640 |
| here, this part down here in the | They're kind of like caving in. |
| mandibular ridge kind of stays where it's at. | $38$ |
| 26 | 00:01:58.410 --> 00:02:00.480 |
| 00:01:23.470 --> 00:01:30.040 | And so without your teeth, you're missing |
| Now as the patient loses some bone on their ridges, as their ridge resorbs, |  |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & \text { 00:02:00.490 --> 00:02:01.280 } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 27 | that lip support. |
| 00:01:30.650 --> 00:01:33.520 |  |
| they start to lose some of the lip support. |  |
|  | 00:02:01.650 --> 00:02:04.400 |
| 28 | And as you have more resorption, that |
| 00:01:33.670 --> 00:01:34.900 <br> So l'm going to back up just a second |  |
|  | 41 |
|  | 00:02:04.410 --> 00:02:07.540 |
| 29 | lack of lip support becomes more apparent. |
| 00:01:34.910 --> 00:01:35.460 |  |
| over here. |  |
|  | 00:02:07.690 --> 00:02:09.500 |
| 30 | Your lips get more and more collapsed. |
| 00:01:36.150 --> 00:01:37.660 |  |
| So remember right here, this is where the | 43 |
|  | 00:02:10.530 --> 00:02:12.520 |
| 31 | So this is a patient right here. |
| 00:01:37.670 --> 00:01:40.040 |  |
| patient had their teeth, and then they | $44$ |
| just got them pulled. | 00:02:13.930 --> 00:02:15.380 |
|  | Sorry, let me back up for a second. |
| 32 |  |
| 00:01:40.550 --> 00:01:43.320 | 45 |
| So the teeth are what supports the lip. | 00:02:15.390 --> 00:02:22.180 |
|  | That lack of lip support, that resorption |
| 33 | of the bone, leads to what's called a |
| 00:01:43.610 --> 00:01:46.020 |  |
| So the incisal edges of the teeth are | 46 |
|  | 00:02:22.190 --> 00:02:23.240 |
| $34.01: 46.020>0001: 40.620$ | composite defect. |
| 00:01:46.030 --> 00:01:48.620 |  |
| actually preventing your lip from collapsing, right? | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 47 \\ \text { 00:02:23.610 --> 00:02:25.600 } \end{array}$ |
|  | Remember at first you had a tooth -only |
| 35 ( 38 |  |
| 00:01:49.290 --> 00:01:52.080 | 48 |
| Everybody's seen the patient that is | 00:02:25.610 --> 00:02:30.820 |
| missing their teeth and has their | defect, and then with some resorption you progress to a composite defect. |
| 36 |  |
| 00:01:52.090 --> 00:01:56.520 | 49 |
| dentures out, and their lips are all like | 00:02:31.170 --> 00:02:35.680 |
| that, right? | Composite defect basically means that you're missing the space that was |


| 50 | them with an all -on -four. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00:02:35.690 --> 00:02:39.000 occupied by your teeth and the alveolar structure. |  |
|  |  |
|  | 00:03:20.910 --> 00:03:22.660 |
| 51 | Let's look back actually at this first picture. |
| 00:02:39.410 --> 00:02:40.760 |  |
| So now you have a composite defect. | 64 |
|  | 00:03:23.030 --> 00:03:24.500 |
| 52 | In this first picture, you can restore |
| 00:02:41.310 --> 00:02:47.600 |  |
| And over time, your bone continues to | 65 |
| resorb and you'll have a severe composite defect. | 00:03:24.510 --> 00:03:27.900 <br> with an all -on -four, and it'll look |
| 53 | pretty natural. |
| 00:02:47.790 --> 00:02:53.000 |  |
| So the little old lady that's been | 66 |
| wearing dentures for 30,40 years, she | 00:03:28.150 --> 00:03:30.520 |
|  | I think it's a good treatment plan to follow. |
| 54 ( 5 |  |
| 00:02:53.010 --> 00:02:54.820 | 67 |
| has a severe composite defect. | 00:03:31.170 --> 00:03:33.300 |
|  | In this second picture, let's imagine |
| 55 |  |
| 00:02:54.990 --> 00:02:56.740 | 68 |
| And you can tell right here, so the lips, | 00:03:33.310 --> 00:03:34.960 <br> this person's a little bit more resorbed. |
| 56 |  |
| 00:02:56.850 --> 00:03:01.160 | 69 |
| remember the lips are right here, the | 00:03:35.650 --> 00:03:36.440 |
| lips are outlined in blue, and the lips | Can you see the difference? |
| 57 | 70 |
| 00:03:01.170 --> 00:03:02.180 | 00:03:36.450 --> 00:03:37.240 |
| have no support. | Can you see the difference between the two pictures? |
| 58 |  |
| 00:03:02.350 --> 00:03:04.040 | 71 |
| They're completely all shriveled up. | 00:03:39.110 --> 00:03:45.100 |
|  | So in this picture, the prosthetic gums, |
| 59 | they're not really sticking too far, |
| 00:03:04.690 --> 00:03:06.540 |  |
| And so she's got a severe composite defect. | 72 |
|  | 00:03:45.370 --> 00:03:48.380 |
| 60 | they're not really bumped too far out |
| 00:03:08.230 --> 00:03:09.960 | from where the ridge is. |
| Alright, so I'm going to show you right |  |
|  | 73 |
| 61 | 00:03:48.870 --> 00:03:53.680 |
| 00:03:09.970 --> 00:03:15.960 | The ridge is here, and the prosthetic |
| here, this middle picture, you can see what happens as a person has a bigger and | gums are right here. |
|  | 74 |
| 62 | 00:03:54.310 --> 00:03:59.320 |
| 00:03:15.970 --> 00:03:19.680 | But as the ridge resorbs more, so let's |
| bigger composite defect, and you restore | say the ridge is back here, and then the |


| 75 | flange can create a nice emergence, a |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00:03:59.330 --> 00:04:00.720 <br> teeth kind of stick out further. |  |
|  | 88 |
|  | 00:04:43.550 --> 00:04:45.640 |
| 76 | nice lip plumping. |
| 00:04:00.870 --> 00:04:02.640 |  |
| You can see in this middle picture, the | 89 |
|  | 00:04:45.930 --> 00:04:48.660 |
| 77 | I never promise that I'm going to have |
| 00:04:02.650 --> 00:04:08.980 |  |
| teeth are sticking out further, and where | 90 |
| I circled right here, this is where you | 00:04:48.670 --> 00:04:52.140 <br> some sort of plastic surgery type result |
| 78 | with my patients if they want. |
| 00:04:08.990 --> 00:04:12.000 <br> can imagine that the lips, so this is the lip, remember? |  |
|  | 91 |
|  | 00:04:52.530 --> 00:04:56.960 |
|  | Lips plump, l'll send them to a plastic |
| 79 | surgeon or somebody that does Botox, I |
| 00:04:12.310 --> 00:04:14.340 <br> The lip is going to dip in right here. |  |
|  | $92$ |
|  | 00:04:56.970 --> 00:04:57.160 |
| 80 | don't know. |
| 00:04:15.090 --> 00:04:17.220 |  |
| I'm going to show you some real pictures | 93 |
|  | 00:04:57.710 --> 00:05:00.240 |
| 81 | But anyway, a flange, the fact of the |
| 00:04:17.230 --> 00:04:21.600 |  |
| of what this looks like, but I just want | 94 |
| to show you these illustrations just to | 00:05:00.250 --> 00:05:04.140 <br> matter is a flange bumps up the lips a |
| 82 | little bit and provides lip support. |
| 00:04:21.610 --> 00:04:23.240 show you my point. |  |
|  |  |
|  | 00:05:04.150 --> 00:05:06.660 |
| $83$ | Up high, where an all -on -four cannot. |
| 00:04:25.230 --> 00:04:28.700 |  |
| This area right here is not supported by anything. | 96 |
|  | 00:05:07.390 --> 00:05:11.240 |
| 84 | So an all -on -four, perfect candidate is |
| 00:04:29.690 --> 00:04:34.500 |  |
| That's why somebody with a severe |  |
| composite defect, they might be better | 00:05:11.250 --> 00:05:13.760 <br> somebody who has a moderate composite defect. |
| 85 |  |
| 00:04:34.510 --> 00:04:36.000 | 98 |
| treated with a denture. | 00:05:14.570 --> 00:05:16.560 |
|  | Somebody who does not have a composite |
| 86 |  |
| 00:04:36.090 --> 00:04:38.000 | 99 |
| Because a denture, as you can see right | 00:05:16.570 --> 00:05:21.100 <br> defect, who has a tooth -only defect, is |
| 87 | not a great candidate yet, but you can |
| 00:04:38.010 --> 00:04:43.540 <br> here, a denture's got a flange, and a |  |


| 100 | lips, sometimes it's called like a |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00:05:21.110 --> 00:05:22.180 |  |
| turn them into a good candidate. |  |
|  | 00:06:02.610 --> 00:06:06.820 |
| 101 | witch's chin, that is called the |
| 00:05:22.650 --> 00:05:24.300 | mentolabial sulcus. |
| Somebody who has a severe composite 114 |  |
|  |  |
| 102 | 00:06:07.270 --> 00:06:10.220 |
| 00:05:24.310 --> 00:05:29.120 | So that's what I'm talking about, that |
| defect, a little tough to treat with an | there's not enough lip support there, so |
| all -on -four, and you have to tell them 115 |  |
| 103 | 00:06:10.230 --> 00:06:11.560 |
| 00:05:29.130 --> 00:05:32.600 | it dips in right there. |
| ahead of time what might be the |  |
| complication from that. | 116 |
|  | 00:06:11.950 --> 00:06:14.660 |
| 104 | If she had a flange, so if you had chosen |
| 00:05:32.610 --> 00:05:33.760 |  |
| So I'm going to show you right now, actually. | $\begin{array}{\|l} 117 \\ \text { 00:06:14.670 --> 00:06:19.760 } \end{array}$ |
| 105 | a removable solution, so an overdenture |
| 00:05:34.570 --> 00:05:37.440 | that still snaps onto implants, that |
| So this is a little old lady, this is her 118 |  |
|  | 118 |
| 106 | 00:06:19.770 --> 00:06:24.040 |
| 00:05:37.450 --> 00:05:41.640 | would plump up and potentially eliminate |
| without her maxillary denture, and you |  |
|  | 119 |
| 107 | 00:06:24.930 --> 00:06:30.100 |
| 00:05:41.650 --> 00:05:43.500 <br> can see what I'm talking about, the lip collapse. | This other patient over here, she has an upper all -on -X prosthesis, and you can |
|  |  |
| 108 | 120 |
| 00:05:44.710 --> 00:05:46.320 | 00:06:30.110 --> 00:06:32.620 |
| Now we'll do a side view. | see that she doesn't have adequate lip support right here. |
| 109 \|l |  |
| 00:05:47.170 --> 00:05:52.940 | 121 |
| This lady has a, so same little old lady, | 00:06:33.730 --> 00:06:37.660 |
| she has an upper complete denture, and | For some patients, this is a big deal, for other patients it's not a big deal. |
| 110 |  |
| 00:05:52.950 --> 00:05:54.440 | 122 |
| she has a lower all -on -four. | 00:06:37.990 --> 00:06:41.120 |
|  | You just gotta know going into it that if |
|  | the patient has a severe composite |
| 00:05:54.970 --> 00:05:58.040 |  |
| In this part right here, that little dip | 123 |
|  | 00:06:41.130 --> 00:06:44.700 |
| 112 | defect, they're likely to get this sort |
| 00:05:58.050 --> 00:06:02.600 <br> that you see in between her chin and her | of outcome. |


| 124 | 135 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00:06:46.470 --> 00:06:51.820 | 00:07:33.150 --> 00:07:36.440 |
| This is another patient, she's got a composite defect, and there was just kind | If they do, then I go ahead and proceed with the all on X treatment. |
| 125 | 136 |
| 00:06:51.830 --> 00:06:56.220 | 00:07:36.830 --> 00:07:42.640 |
| of like a stair step between her | If they don't, then they have a severe |
| prosthesis and her gums, see, it's a | composite defect, I present a removable |
| 126 | 137 |
| 00:06:56.230 --> 00:07:02.880 | 00:07:42.650 --> 00:07:48.660 |
| stair step, it was a pretty severe stair | option as a potential plan for that |
| step, and all I did actually was I just | patient, and I kind of put it in their court. |
| 127 | 138 |
| 00:07:02.890 --> 00:07:07.780 | 00:07:48.970 --> 00:07:52.300 |
| reset her teeth, I just kind of pushed | If they want to proceed with an all on X, |
| her teeth, so I stripped her teeth off, I | I tell them what could be the |
| 128 | 139 |
| 00:07:07.790 --> 00:07:13.600 | 00:07:52.310 --> 00:07:57.680 |
| kept the bar, and I just had the teeth set a little bit more in, and I made the | complication, and I generally recommend a removable for severe composite defects. |
| 129 | 140 |
| 00:07:13.610 --> 00:07:19.480 | 00:07:58.890 --> 00:07:59.160 |
| emergence of the gums a little bit more gradual, and I was able to remove that | Cool? |
|  | 141 |
| 130 | 00:07:59.570 --> 00:08:00.640 |
| 00:07:19.490 --> 00:07:21.240 | All right, let's move on to the next |
| crease, so that crease just disappeared, right? |  |
| 131 | 00:08:00.650 --> 00:08:01.860 |
| 00:07:21.710 --> 00:07:24.400 | thing, that's transition line. |
| So there it is, there's that crease, and |  |
| 132 |  |
| 00:07:24.410 --> 00:07:25.020 <br> there it's not there. |  |
| 133 |  |
| 00:07:25.030 --> 00:07:28.560 |  |
| All right, so that's the first thing that |  |
| 134 |  |
| 00:07:28.570 --> 00:07:32.800 |  |
| I ask, does the patient have adequate lip support without a flange? |  |

