Magnitude-9 earthquake Tsunami Disaster area 561Km²

The heights tsunami waves 128 feet (39 meters) Dead 15,894 people, Missing 2,500 people

Destroyed buildings 120,000 Half-destroyed buildings 278,000

The direct financial damage \$199 billion dollars (about 16.9 trillion yen)

The Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Pl

Although, 70 trillion yen of reconstruction expenses were used, 100 thousand coastal area's victims moved to other big cities from restoring hometowns. In February 2017, 50,000 of 150,000 evacuees who lost their homes are still living in temporary housing





The marriage of holistic and bottom-up in planning process / Japan Earthquake and Tsunami (2011) disaster area

Natural disaster and extreme weather conditions are unpredictable. It is difficult to predict what will occur next. However, we should achieve resilience by design for disaster area like Japan Earthquake and Tsunami (2011). Improving the quality of resilience is still Landscape architect's key concept; Both Boston Fen by Frederick Law Olmsted and Woodland by Ian L. McHarg are a historical model that combines flood prevention and recreation space construction. If landscape architectures can't create new relaxing home for victims, they'll be forced to leave their hometown after they lost their families. Landscape planning principle is important because it requires collaboration among other professions (Civil engineering, Architecture). After 2011 earthquake and tsunami, Japan spent 70 trillion yen on reconstruction plan, but 100 thousand people left their hometown, moving to the other big cities. We achieved relocation site planning with Japanese government's historical database which was prepared for McHarg's ecological planning by various kind of professionals. Shinchi town's population has recovered by bottom up planning process and our site suitability analysis using the above landscape principle and historic data. We can carry out costeffective resilience by integrating cross-sector expert's evaluation and civil participation for bottom-up planning process.

	P	opulation ratio of 2010 to 2015 Population transition of 2010 to 2015
		1 洋野町 -6.8 -1,219
	Pacific ocean	2 久慈市 -3.3 -1,228
Population		3 野田村 -10.9 -505
Increase		4 普代村 -9.5 -292
		5 田野畑村 -9.9 -382
	5	6 岩泉町 -8.9 -965
1710	2 3	7 宮古市 -4.8 -2,861
Decrease	4	8 山田町 -15.0 -2,791
		9 大槌町 -23.2 -3,544
	•	10 釜石市 -7.0 -2,762
		11 大船渡市 -6.6 -2,669
Forced	7 7	12 陸前高田市 -15.2 -3,543
migration	8 5	13 気仙沼市 -11.7 -8,572
	9 5	14 南三陸町 -29.0 -5,054
	10 2	15 石巻市 -8.5 -13,590
	5	16 女川町 -37.0 -3,717
Recovery	11 2	17 東松島市 -7.9 -3,385
	12 5	18 松島町 -4.4 -661
	-	19 利府町 5.6 1,887
	13 8	20 塩釜市 -4.1 -2,295
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	21 七ケ浜町 -8.7 -1.765 Population flow into b
	~ A	22 多賀城市 -1.5 -932
	5	23 仙台市 3.5 36,199
		24 名取市 4.9 3,585
	15 216	25 岩沼市 1.2 517 Why did Shinchi town
	18 17 0	26 亘理町 -3.6 -1,247 population in spite of
	19 20	<u>27 山元町 -26.3 -4,390</u> population of 100,000
	23 22 21	28 新地町 Shinchi-town 0 -4 surrounding disaster a
	24	29 相馬市 Shoma-city 2.0 758 Landscape architect co
	25	30 南相馬市 -18.5 -13,145 reconstruction?
	2627	31 浪江町 -100 / -20,905
	-28	32 双葉町 -100 -6,932
	29	33 大熊町 –100 3 –11,515 Temporary residence of
	25 20	34 富岡町 –100 /
	38 30 30	35 飯舘村 -99.3 -6,168 nuclear power restora
Fukushima	36 31 32	36 易尾村 -98.8 》 -1,513 workers
Daiichi	39 33	37 川内村 -28.3 -799
	37 40	38 川1 吴町 -7.0 -1,090
nuclear power	41	39 田村市 -4.8 -1,922
μιαπ	42	40 梄葉町 -87.3 -6,724
		41 広野町 -20.2 -1,095
		42 いわざ市 2.1 7,095

oig cities

recover its declining the people in the areas. How did ommit this

of *difficult*er and ation





Soma site C in 2012

Soma Relocation site B in 2015